

# Relative monodromy of ramified sections on abelian schemes

Paolo Dolce

Francesco Tropeano

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Monodromy on abelian schemes</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Setting . . . . .	5
2.2	Periods and abelian logarithms . . . . .	6
2.3	Monodromy of periods . . . . .	7
2.4	Relative monodromy of abelian logarithms . . . . .	10
<b>3</b>	<b>Proof of the main results</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1	Invariance results . . . . .	13
3.2	Non triviality of the relative monodromy . . . . .	14
3.3	Rank of relative monodromy . . . . .	20
3.4	Relative monodromy of non-torsion sections . . . . .	21
3.5	The case of thin Monodromy of periods . . . . .	21
<b>4</b>	<b>Some applications</b>	<b>22</b>
4.1	Manin’s kernel theorem . . . . .	22
4.2	Algebraic independence of periods and logarithms . . . . .	23
	<b>References</b>	<b>23</b>

## Abstract

Let’s fix a complex abelian scheme  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  of relative dimension  $g$ , without fixed part, and having maximal variation in moduli. We show that the relative monodromy group  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  of a ramified section  $\sigma: S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is nontrivial. Moreover, under some hypotheses on the action of the monodromy group  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  we show that  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ . We discuss several examples and applications. For instance we provide a new proof of Manin’s kernel theorem and of the algebraic independence of the coordinates of abelian logarithms with respect to the coordinates of periods.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $S$  be a regular, irreducible, quasi-projective variety defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . An abelian scheme  $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  of relative dimension  $g$  can be seen as family of  $g$ -dimensional complex abelian varieties  $\{\mathcal{A}_s\}_{s \in S(\mathbb{C})}$  (the fibres of  $\phi$ ) that “varies algebraically accordingly to  $\phi$ ” over the parameterizing space  $S(\mathbb{C})$ . Each fibre  $\mathcal{A}_s$  can be analytically identified with a complex torus  $\mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda_s$ . Locally, on simply connected subsets  $U \subset S(\mathbb{C})$  it is possible to define a holomorphic period map  $\mathfrak{P}: U \rightarrow \text{Lie}(\mathcal{A})^{2g}$  where  $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{A})$  is the complex Lie algebra bundle of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C})$ . The period map associates to any point  $s \in U$  a  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis  $\mathfrak{P}(s)$  of the lattice  $\Lambda_s$  and in general it cannot be globally defined on  $S(\mathbb{C})$ . The obstruction on the existence of the analytic continuation of  $\mathfrak{P}$  along a loop around  $s \in S(\mathbb{C})$  is measured by the monodromy group  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A}, s)$ . Given an algebraic section of the abelian scheme, which is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -morphism  $\sigma: S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ , again locally on simply connected open subsets  $U' \subset S(\mathbb{C})$  it is possible to lift  $\sigma$  through the exponential map  $\exp: \text{Lie}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C})$  to a holomorphic map  $\log_\sigma: U' \rightarrow \text{Lie}(\mathcal{A})$ . This map is called an abelian logarithm of the section  $\sigma$ . On a common open set  $V \subset S(\mathbb{C})$  where both  $\log_\sigma$  and  $\mathfrak{P}$  are defined, we can express  $\log_\sigma(s) \in \mathbb{C}^g$  in terms of the basis  $\mathfrak{P}(s)$  of the lattice  $\Lambda_s$ . The coordinates form a real-analytic function  $\beta_\sigma: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2g}$  which is nowadays called the Betti map (associated to  $\sigma$ ) and that turned out to be of crucial importance in the theory of unlikely intersections. Such map was implicitly considered by Manin in [25], to get a proof of Mordell’s conjecture in the function field case. The aforementioned abelian logarithm  $\log_\sigma$  is in general defined only locally, thus it is interesting to measure the obstruction to the existence of a global abelian logarithm: the simultaneous monodromy of the period map and abelian logarithm of  $\sigma$  gives rise to the monodromy group of the section  $M_\sigma$ . The knowledge of  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A}, s)$  and

the monodromy group of the logarithm of a section gives relevant information on the structure of the abelian scheme and on the section, respectively: in fact, no non-zero periods can be globally defined when the abelian scheme has no fixed part (see [16, Lemma 5.6]) and the monodromy of a logarithm is trivial only when the section is the zero-section of the scheme. It is usually important to understand more on the structure of monodromy groups. In [9] and [27] the authors construct examples where the monodromy group  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A}, s)$  is of infinite index in the arithmetic group attached to its Zariski closure, i.e. thin. Also in [30] it is pointed out that thin groups often arise in the context of monodromy groups. Given a finitely generated group in  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , usually it's not too difficult to compute its Zariski closure, but deciding if the group is thin can be very subtle and difficult. Thus, in general it is very interesting to determine under which hypotheses the monodromy groups are big in their Zariski closures.

**Main results of this paper.** We investigate the obstruction that prevents the existence of a globally defined abelian logarithm along loops which induce a trivial monodromy action on the period map. This obstruction is measured by the relative monodromy group  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$ . It is easy to check that  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ , so it is interesting to understand how its rank is related to  $\sigma$ . The group  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  gives relevant information on the section, in particular its non-triviality should be related to the property of  $\sigma$  of being non-torsion, and its rank should give information on the minimal group subscheme containing the image of  $\sigma$ .

In the case of non-isotrivial elliptic schemes Corvaja and Zannier proved in [10] that when  $\sigma$  is a non-torsion section the group  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  is non-trivial and moreover it is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  (so it has full rank). In the same paper, they provided an example showing that a priori, if we just limit ourselves to group theoretic arguments, the relative monodromy group could be smaller than expected (even trivial) when compared to the Zariski closures of non-relative monodromy groups. Hence, in this setting there are some groups which cannot arise as monodromy groups of sections. Moreover, we point out that the second author of the present paper in [33] studied the relative monodromy for products of two elliptic schemes. In [32] he also gives an explicit proof of the results of Corvaja and Zannier.

In this paper we generalize the work of [10] on the relative monodromy  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$ , focusing on ramified sections instead of non-torsion sections. The notion of ramified section is formally introduced below but it essentially means that  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  doesn't come from a base-change of an abelian scheme  $\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow S'$  whose modular map is unramified.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}_{g,\ell} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{g,\ell}$  be a universal family with some fixed level- $\ell$ -structure and without locally constant parts; in order to simplify the notation, in the rest of the paper we drop the subscript  $\ell$  and simply denote the family by  $\mathfrak{A}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_g$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  be an abelian scheme with modular map  $p : S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_g$ . We say that an algebraic section  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is *unramified over  $S$*  if:

- (i) There exist an unramified morphism  $q' : S' \rightarrow p(S)$  and an abelian scheme  $\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow S'$  such that  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}' \times_{S'} S$  and the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}' & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{A}_g|_{p(S)} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 S & \xrightarrow{q} & S' & \xrightarrow{q'} & p(S) \\
 & \searrow & \text{---} & \nearrow & \\
 & & p & & 
 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

- (ii) There exists a section  $\sigma' : S' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$  with the following property: let  $q^*\sigma' : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be the natural section induced by  $\sigma' \circ q$ , then  $\sigma = q^*\sigma'$ .

A section  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is said to be *ramified over  $S$*  if it is not unramified. Moreover, we say that  $\sigma$  is *ramified with respect to the modular map  $p$*  if it is ramified over an open dense  $U \subseteq S$  on which  $p$  is a finite morphism.

In what follows we consider abelian schemes without fixed part (i.e. with trivial Chow trace) and we assume that the modular map  $p : S \rightarrow p(S) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_g$  is generically finite<sup>1</sup> (onto its image), this assumption is often referred as *maximal variation in moduli*. We prove the result stated below.

<sup>1</sup>In the literature there is no uniform consensus on the precise notion of generically finite morphism. For us a morphism of schemes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *generically finite* if there exists a dense open  $V \subseteq Y$  such that the restriction  $f : f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$  is a finite morphism.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  be an abelian scheme without fixed part such that (up to a finite base change) the modular map  $p : S \rightarrow p(S) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_g$  is generically finite. If  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a ramified section with respect to  $p$ , then the relative monodromy group  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  is non-trivial.*

Our techniques seem unsuitable to prove the same statement for non-torsion sections not ramified with respect to  $p$ . However, when it is possible to apply [26, Main Theorem] on the finiteness of the group of holomorphic sections for Kuga families, we extend Theorem 1.2 for all non-torsion sections. In particular, this happens when the modular map is finite onto a weakly-special subvariety of  $\mathbb{A}_g$ .

In addition, we provide a precise result on the size of  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  in some special cases:

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  be an abelian scheme without fixed part such that (up to a finite base change) the modular map  $p : S \rightarrow p(S) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_g$  is generically finite. If  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a ramified section with respect to  $p$  and the action of the monodromy group  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  is irreducible, then the relative monodromy group  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ .*

In a similar way as above Corollary 1.3 can be extended for all non-torsion sections under some additional hypothesis. Note that the action of  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  is irreducible for instance when  $p(S)$  is not contained in any proper special subvariety of  $\mathbb{A}_g$ .

Corollary 1.3 partially settles the following general conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.4.** *Let  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  be an abelian scheme of relative dimension  $g$  without fixed part. The rank of  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  is  $2g'$  where  $g' \leq g$  is the relative dimension of the minimal group subscheme of  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  containing the image of the section  $\sigma$ .*

After the first version of this paper (which contained slightly weaker results) was made public, we privately received the preprint [2] of Y. André who partially addresses Conjecture 1.4, including some of our cases. Under certain hypotheses, including the requirement that the monodromy of periods is not thin, he shows that  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  is of maximal rank. See Section 3.5 for more details and an explicit example in which the monodromy of periods is thin and  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  is of maximal rank.

**Application I: properties of the Betti map.** Our theorem has immediate implications on the arithmetic properties of the sections of abelian schemes, in particular on some properties of the Betti map. On one hand periods and abelian logarithms in general cannot be globally defined, but on the other hand it is also true that they can be always be globally defined after going to the universal cover  $\tilde{S}$  of the parameter base  $S$ , so the Betti map is also globally defined on  $\tilde{S}$ . Let us denote by  $S_* \rightarrow S$  the minimal unramified cover where periods are globally defined and by  $S_\sigma \rightarrow S_*$  the minimal unramified cover where abelian logarithm of  $\sigma$  is globally defined: then,  $S_\sigma \rightarrow S_*$  is also the minimal unramified cover of  $S_*$  where the Betti map is globally defined and we have the following situation

$$\tilde{S} \rightarrow S_\sigma \rightarrow S_* \rightarrow S.$$

The map  $S_* \rightarrow S$  is a Galois cover whose Galois group is  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A}, s)$ , while the map  $S_\sigma \rightarrow S$  is a Galois cover with Galois group  $M_\sigma$ . Our main theorem determines properties of the Galois group  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  of the Galois cover  $S_\sigma \rightarrow S_*$ , giving precise information about the global definition of the Betti map which is useful to know for several applications.

For instance, it is straightforward to see that if  $\sigma$  is a torsion section then the Betti map of  $\sigma$  is constant and thus globally defined on the base. The converse statement is also true but more difficult to prove, it is widely known as Manin’s kernel theorem. As a consequence of Theorem 1.2, we obtain a new proof of a stronger version of Manin’s kernel theorem. We call it “strong version” because in its statement we just assume the Betti coordinates to be globally defined instead of rational constant a priori.

**Application II: the functional transcendence step in the Pila-Zannier method.** The Betti map is used in the work [29] of Pila and Zannier together with a result of Pila and Wilkie in transcendental Diophantine geometry (see [28]) to give a new proof of the Manin-Mumford conjecture. Such a strategy is referred as “the Pila-Zannier method”; in the case of abelian schemes over  $\mathbb{Q}$  it works in the following way: the goal is to have a control on the distribution of torsion values of  $\sigma$  (i.e. elements of  $\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_{\text{tor}})$ ) with bounded heights. First one gives lower bounds on the number of such torsion values using Galois conjugates and the height inequality of Dimitrov-Gao-Habegger. On the other hand the Betti map  $\beta_\sigma$  transforms the torsion values in rational points of a definable set in the  $\sigma$ -minimal structure  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$ . At this point a “Pila-Wilkie type” result gives a control on the rational points on the transcendental part

of such definable set. Still we have no information on the rational points in the algebraic part of the definable set, but here the crucial point is to use some functional transcendence theorem of “Ax-Schanuel type” to control the algebraic part of the definable set. Let us take a closer look at the last step involving the functional transcendence arguments: a classical way to tackle this step is by using a result of André (see [1, Theorem 3]). It says that the coordinates of the abelian logarithm  $\log_\sigma$  are pairwise algebraically independent over the extension of  $\mathbb{C}(S)$  generated by the coordinates of the period map  $\mathfrak{P}$ . Such result has often very strong implications, for instance in [14], thanks to such result, it is shown that the algebraic part of the definable set constructed with the Pila-Zannier method is empty.

Such type of functional transcendence results are related to the study of the relative monodromy. In fact André’s result can be interpreted in terms of differential Galois theory. More precisely, considering directional derivatives along a tangent vector field  $\partial$  with respect to the Gauss-Manin connection, the transcendence degree of the extension

$$\mathbb{C}(S)(\mathfrak{P}, \partial\mathfrak{P})(\log_\sigma, \partial\log_\sigma)/\mathbb{C}(S)(\mathfrak{P}, \partial\mathfrak{P})$$

is equal to the dimension of the kernel of the homomorphism from the differential Galois group of  $\mathbb{C}(S)(\mathfrak{P}, \partial\mathfrak{P})(\log_\sigma, \partial\log_\sigma)/\mathbb{C}(S)$  to the differential Galois group of  $\mathbb{C}(S)(\mathfrak{P}, \partial\mathfrak{P})/\mathbb{C}(S)$ . Recall that by general theory, the differential Galois group of a Picard-Vessiot extension is exactly the Zariski closure of the corresponding monodromy group. In [10] it is pointed out that André’s theorem gives no information about the relative monodromy group  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$ . Thus, Corollary 1.3 can be interpreted as a strengthening of André’s theorem (under our hypothesis). We shall in fact prove how André’s result is a consequence of Corollary 1.3, and this in turns leads to a new proof of the algebraic independence of the coordinates of  $\log_\sigma$  with respect to periods.

**Further developments.** After the works of André, Corvaja, Masser and Zannier (see for instance [3], [8], [10], [36]) the Betti map became a standard tool in Diophantine geometry. Remarkably, Dimitrov, Gao and Habbegger in [13] used the Betti map and a novel height inequality (then generalized by Yuan and Zhang in [35]) to prove a uniform version of the Mordell-Lang conjecture. The Pila-Zannier method was in turns employed by Gao and Habbegger in combination with new ideas involving some “degeneracy loci”, to solve the relative Manin-Mumford conjecture (see [18]). Other very recent applications of the Betti map can be found in the works of Xie and Yuan in [34] towards the geometric Bombieri-Lang conjecture.

Our hope for future developments is twofold. First of all, the main results of this paper could be applied for the solution of some “special points problems” when in the functional transcendence step of the Pila-Zannier method André’s theorem fails to give a control on rational points of the algebraic part of the constructed definable set. It is not clear yet if such cases exist and if they are interesting. Secondly, it would be nice to remove the hypothesis of maximal variation in moduli and also to obtain the result for all non-torsion sections in Theorem 1.2.

**Strategy of the proofs.** The approach of our proofs was originally inspired by [10], and it is based on the interpretation of the obstruction to globally define a logarithm as a Galois cohomology class with respect to the monodromy action of the fundamental group of  $S(\mathbb{C})$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ . The new key ideas consist of using the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem for quasi-projective varieties (see [19, 2.2]) to restrict our reasoning to generic curves on the base. At this point we exploit the properties of the trace operator with respect to the pullback of the section in the case of finite morphisms, but as opposed to [10] we don’t need to construct abelian schemes with finite Mordell-Weil group.<sup>2</sup>

For the proof of Theorem 1.2 we proceed in the following way: we construct an intermediate abelian scheme  $\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow S'$  which factorizes the universal diagram induced by the modular map  $p : S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_g$ . This construction is carried out by means of topological techniques in such a way that  $\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow S'$  is a finite unramified base change of the universal family. Then we find a suitable curve inside  $S(\mathbb{C})$  which preserves the complete monodromy action of the fundamental group of  $S(\mathbb{C})$  on periods and logarithms. The curve is obtained after iterating the aforementioned Lefschetz theorem and turns out to be a Stein space. This last property allows us to construct holomorphic sections of the unramified family which come from a Galois cohomology class describing the obstruction of the global logarithms. The proof then follows by a contradiction argument: we assume the triviality of  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$ , then by using the previous apparatus and the properties of trace and pull-back operators, we deduce that  $\sigma$  should be unramified. This proves the non-triviality of  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$ .

<sup>2</sup>In a previous version of the paper we considered only Kuga families so that we could apply [26, Main Theorem].

Once that we have addressed the non-triviality of  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$ , the corollaries follow immediately from the extra assumptions.

**Overview of the paper.** In [Section 2](#) we define all the main objects and we collect the results coming from the general theory. In particular, we give a detailed account of the various monodromy groups. In [Section 3.2](#) we carry out the proof of [Theorem 1.2](#) after some technical results about “the invariance” of our main theorem ([Section 3.1](#)). [Section 3.3](#) is devoted to the proof of the corollary. In [Section 3.4](#) and [Section 3.5](#) we provide several examples of abelian schemes with sections for which we are able to estimate the relative monodromy. Finally, [Section 4](#) is devoted to some useful applications of our results.

**Acknowledgments and remarks.** The authors are deeply grateful to *P. Corvaja* and *U. Zannier* for their support and numerous insights. Moreover, they thank *Y. André* for the interesting discussions on the topic. The second author is supported by PRIN 2022, CUP F53D23002740006. Moreover, he would like to thank the Institute for Theoretical Sciences of Westlake University for their hospitality while he was completing the paper.

## 2 Monodromy on abelian schemes

### 2.1 Setting

In general if  $X$  is a quasi-projective complex algebraic variety, with  $X(\mathbb{C})$  we denote the set of its closed points which has a structure of complex manifold.

Let  $S$  be a regular, irreducible, quasi-projective variety defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  be an abelian scheme over  $S$  of relative dimension  $g \geq 1$ , namely a proper smooth group  $S$ -scheme admitting a “zero section”  $\sigma_0 : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  and whose fibers are  $g$  dimensional abelian varieties. For any  $s \in S(\mathbb{C})$  the fiber over  $s$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{A}_s$ . We denote with  $\Sigma^{\text{an}}$  the sheaf over  $S(\mathbb{C})$  of holomorphic sections of  $\phi$  whereas  $\Sigma(S) \subset \Sigma^{\text{an}}(S(\mathbb{C}))$  is the abelian group of (global) algebraic  $\mathbb{C}$ -sections.

In [Section 3.1](#) we will show that our main results are insensitive to finite base changes of  $S$  and to isogenies. Hence, by using for instance [[13](#), Proof of Theorem B.1 Devissages  $(iv) - (vi)$ ] we can assume that the abelian scheme carries principal polarization and it has level- $\ell$ -structure.

In this paper, sometimes we also need to assume an analytic viewpoint on families of abelian varieties: we look at  $S(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C})$  as normal quasi-projective varieties with a surjective holomorphic map  $\phi : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow S(\mathbb{C})$  whose fibers are abelian varieties, thus the zero section  $\sigma_0 : S(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C})$  and the fiberwise group operations are complex analytic. The previous family can be regarded as a geometric model of a polarized abelian variety  $A$  defined over the function field  $\mathbb{C}(S)$  of meromorphic functions on  $S(\mathbb{C})$ : from the latter perspective a rational point  $\sigma$  of  $A$  over  $\mathbb{C}(S)$  is equivalently an algebraic section of  $\phi : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow S(\mathbb{C})$ .

Fix an integer  $\ell \geq 3$ . Now we use two points of view to describe the moduli space of  $g$ -dimensional principally polarized abelian varieties, with level- $\ell$ -structure. First we use scheme theory. There exist an irreducible smooth quasi-projective variety  $\mathbb{A}_g$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  and a principally polarized abelian scheme  $\mathfrak{A}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_g$  of relative dimension  $g$  with symplectic level- $\ell$ -structure with the following property: if  $S$  is any scheme over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  is a principally polarized abelian scheme of relative dimension  $g$  with symplectic level- $\ell$ -structure, then there exists a unique  $\mathbb{C}$ -morphism  $p : S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_g$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is isomorphic to the pull-back  $\mathfrak{A}_g \times_{\mathbb{A}_g} S$  (i.e.  $\mathbb{A}_g$  is a fine moduli space). Let  $\pi : \mathfrak{A}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_g$  be the universal abelian variety and write  $p_{\mathcal{A}}$  for the induced  $\mathbb{C}$ -morphism  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_g$ . Also  $\mathfrak{A}_g$  is an irreducible, smooth, quasi-projective variety definable over a number field. In other words, we are supposed to have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{p_{\mathcal{A}}} & \mathfrak{A}_g \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ S & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathbb{A}_g. \end{array}$$

Now, let’s assume a more analytic viewpoint on moduli spaces introducing modular families of abelian varieties. We denote by  $\mathbb{H}_g$  the Siegel upper-half plane:

$$\mathbb{H}_g := \{\tau \in \text{Sym}(g, \mathbb{C}) \mid \text{Im } \tau > 0\}.$$

The isomorphism classes of principally polarized abelian varieties are in one-to-one correspondence with  $\mathbb{H}_g/\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  where  $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  is a discrete subgroup of  $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$ . To any torsion-free subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$

of finite index one can associate a universal family  $\pi_\Gamma : \mathcal{A}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow S_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$  of principally polarized abelian varieties: following Satake we call such a family  $\pi_\Gamma$  a Kuga family of principally polarized abelian varieties. Without loss of generality, we always assume that  $\Gamma$  is torsion-free. Given a principally polarized abelian scheme  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  of relative dimension  $g$  with level  $\ell$ -structure, there is a congruence subgroup

$$\Gamma = \Gamma(\ell) \subset \mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$$

and a unique modular map  $p : S \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_g/\Gamma = S_\Gamma$  such that  $\phi : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow S(\mathbb{C})$  is isomorphic to the pull-back of the Kuga family  $\pi : \mathcal{A}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow S_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$  by  $p$ . For our purposes we are interested in some vanishing results of the Mordell-Weil rank. Now, we fix once and for all a suitable level  $\ell \geq 3$  such that the Kuga family  $\bar{\pi}_\Gamma : \bar{\mathcal{A}}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \bar{S}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$  has no locally constant parts, i.e. there is no constant part and the same is true even when  $\Gamma$  is replaced by any subgroup of finite index.

## 2.2 Periods and abelian logarithms

Let us consider an abelian scheme  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  with a zero-section as above. Each fiber  $\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C})$  is analytically isomorphic to a complex torus  $\mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda_s$  and for any subset  $W \subseteq S(\mathbb{C})$  we denote  $\Lambda_W := \bigsqcup_{s \in W} \Lambda_s$ . The space  $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{A}) := \bigsqcup_{s \in S(\mathbb{C})} \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{A}_s)$  has a natural structure of  $g$ -dimensional holomorphic vector bundle  $f : \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow S(\mathbb{C})$  (it is actually a complex Lie algebra bundle). By using the fiberwise exponential maps one can define a global map  $\exp : \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\Theta_0 \subset \mathcal{A}$  be the image of the zero section of the abelian scheme, then obviously

$$\exp^{-1}(\Theta_0) = \Lambda_S. \quad (2)$$

Clearly  $S(\mathbb{C})$  can be covered by finitely many open simply connected subsets where the holomorphic vector bundle  $f : \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow S(\mathbb{C})$  trivializes. Let  $U \subseteq S(\mathbb{C})$  be any of such subsets and consider the induced holomorphic map  $f : \Lambda_U \rightarrow U$ ; it is actually a fiber bundle with structure group  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $U$  is simply connected, by [12, Lemma 4.7] we conclude that  $f : \Lambda_U \rightarrow U$  is a topologically trivial fiber bundle. Thus we can find  $2g$  continuous sections of  $f$ :

$$\omega_i : U \rightarrow \Lambda_U, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2g \quad (3)$$

such that  $\{\omega_1(s), \dots, \omega_{2g}(s)\}$  is a basis of periods for  $\Lambda_s$  for any  $s \in U$ . Since  $\Lambda_U \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{A})|_U$ , we can put periods into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{A})|_U \\ & \nearrow \omega_i & \downarrow \exp|_U \\ S(\mathbb{C}) \supset U & \xrightarrow{\sigma_0|_U} & \mathcal{A}|_U, \end{array}$$

where  $\sigma_0$  is the zero section. Since  $\sigma_0$  is holomorphic and  $\exp$  is a local biholomorphism, then the period functions defined in Equation (3) are holomorphic. The map

$$\mathfrak{P} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{2g}) \quad (4)$$

is called a *period map*; roughly speaking it selects a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $\Lambda_s$  which varies holomorphically for  $s \in U$ .

Let us consider now a non-torsion section  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  of the abelian scheme. The set  $U \subseteq S(\mathbb{C})$  is simply connected, therefore we can choose a holomorphic lifting  $\ell_\sigma : U \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{A})$  of the restriction  $\sigma|_U$ ;  $\ell_\sigma$  is often called an *abelian logarithm*. Thus for any  $s \in U$  we can write uniquely

$$\ell_\sigma(s) = \beta_1(s)\omega_1(s) + \dots + \beta_{2g}(s)\omega_{2g}(s) \quad (5)$$

where  $\beta_i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a real analytic function for  $i = 1, \dots, 2g$ . The map  $\beta_\sigma : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2g}$  defined as  $\beta_\sigma = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{2g})$  is called the *Betti map associated to the section  $\sigma$* , whereas the  $\beta_i$ 's are the *Betti coordinates*. Observe that the Betti map depends both on the choices of the period map  $\mathfrak{P}$  and of the abelian logarithm  $\ell_\sigma$ , but this is irrelevant for our aims.

As already mentioned, in this paper we are going to make also use of the sheaf of holomorphic sections  $\Sigma^{\mathrm{an}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}ie(\mathcal{A})$  denote the locally free sheaf on  $S(\mathbb{C})$  associated to the vector bundle  $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow S(\mathbb{C})$ . Then we have a morphism of sheaves  $\psi : \mathcal{L}ie(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Sigma^{\mathrm{an}}$  defined in the following way on any open set  $U \subseteq S(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_U : \mathcal{L}ie(\mathcal{A})(U) &\rightarrow \Sigma^{\mathrm{an}}(U) \\ t &\mapsto \exp \circ t. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $\psi$  is surjective because of the local existence of abelian logarithms. Moreover the sheaf of periods  $\Lambda_S$  is exactly the kernel of  $\psi$ , therefore we obtain the following short exact sequence of sheaves of abelian groups on  $S$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda_S \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\psi(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Sigma^{\text{an}} \rightarrow 0. \quad (6)$$

### 2.3 Monodromy of periods

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sheaf of abelian groups over a topological space  $X$  and denote by  $\Gamma$  the functor of “global sections” which to  $\mathcal{F}$  associates  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(X)$ , with values in the category of abelian groups. Since the category of sheaves of abelian groups has sufficiently many injective objects, then the derived functors  $R^k\Gamma$  do exist. They are generally written

$$R^k\Gamma(\mathcal{F}) =: H^k(X, \mathcal{F}).$$

Let  $X$  be a locally contractible topological space and denote by  $\mathbb{Z}_X$  the constant sheaf of abelian groups over  $X$  with constant stalk  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then we have a canonical isomorphism

$$H_{\text{sing}}^q(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^q(X, \mathbb{Z}_X),$$

where we are considering the cohomology of  $X$  with coefficients in the constant sheaf of stalk  $\mathbb{Z}_X$  on the right, and the singular cohomology with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$  on the left. The same result holds with  $\mathbb{Z}$  replaced by any commutative ring  $G$ .

Now, let  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  be an abelian scheme as defined above and consider the constant sheaf  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . We want to define a sheaf on the base  $S$  containing information on periods of the abelian schemes, to this end let us consider the direct image functor  $\phi_*$ . Since  $\phi_*$  is left exact and the category of sheaves of abelian groups has enough injectivities, the right derived functors of  $\phi_*$  are well defined from the category of sheaves on  $\mathcal{A}$  to the category of sheaves on  $S$ : they are called *higher direct image functors* and will be denoted by  $R^k\phi_*$ . For any  $k \geq 0$ , define the sheaf

$$\mathcal{P}_S^k := R^k\phi_*\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

By [21, Proposition 8.1], this is the sheaf on  $S$  associated to the presheaf

$$U \mapsto H^k(\phi^{-1}(U), \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}|_{\phi^{-1}(U)}).$$

Since  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  is a smooth surjective morphism of algebraic varieties over  $\mathbb{C}$ , by [21, Theorem 10.4] then  $\phi$  is a submersion. We can apply Ehresmann’s Lemma to conclude that the proper submersion  $\phi$  is a  $C^\infty$ -fiber bundle.

Since  $S(\mathbb{C})$  is locally contractible, then for sufficiently small  $U \subseteq S(\mathbb{C})$ , the open sets  $\mathcal{A}_U := \phi^{-1}(U)$  have the same homotopy type as the fibre  $\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C})$  with  $s \in U$ . Using the invariance under homotopy, i.e. the fact that if  $U$  is a contractible space then  $H^k(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}) \times U, \mathbb{Z}) = H^k(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$  for all  $k \geq 0$ , we deduce that the sheaves  $\mathcal{P}_S^k = R^k\phi_*\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$  are locally constant sheaves (or equivalently *local systems*) on  $S$  with stalk

$$(\mathcal{P}_S^k)_s = (R^k\phi_*\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}})_s = H^k(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}).$$

Now, the fundamental group  $\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$  acts via linear transformations on  $(\mathcal{P}_S^k)_s$ : this gives rise to a monodromy representation. We are going to give a more detailed description of the monodromy representation but the rough idea is the following: pick a loop  $\gamma(t)$  at  $s$  and use a trivialization of the bundle along the loop to move vectors in  $(\mathcal{P}_S^k)_s$  along  $(\mathcal{P}_S^k)_{\gamma(t)}$ , back to  $(\mathcal{P}_S^k)_s$ . Actually this is a more general construction regarding monodromy representations associated to local systems, but in our case the monodromy action can be induced by homeomorphisms of the fiber. In order to be more precise, consider  $\gamma \in \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$  represented by a loop  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow S(\mathbb{C})$  based at  $s$ . Consider the fibration  $\mathcal{A}_\gamma$  defined as the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_\gamma & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}) \\ \phi_\gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\ [0, 1] & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & S(\mathbb{C}). \end{array}$$

By the compactness of  $[0, 1]$ , there exist real numbers  $0 \leq \epsilon_i < \epsilon_{i+1}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , such that  $\epsilon_1 = 0, \epsilon_N = 1$ , and  $\phi_\gamma$  trivialises on  $[\epsilon_i, \epsilon_{i+1}]$ . By gluing local trivialisations above segments  $[\epsilon_i, \epsilon_{i+1}]$ , we can trivialise the fibration  $\phi_\gamma : \mathcal{A}_\gamma \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . From such a trivialization

$$\mathcal{A}_\gamma \cong \phi_\gamma^{-1}(0) \times [0, 1],$$

we deduce a homeomorphism  $\psi : \phi_\gamma^{-1}(1) \cong \phi_\gamma^{-1}(0)$ . These two spaces are canonically homeomorphic to the fibre  $\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C})$ .

The homeomorphism  $\psi$  induces a group automorphism  $\psi^k$  of  $H^k(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ , for any  $k \geq 0$ . Therefore, we obtain the monodromy representations

$$\rho^k : \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s) \rightarrow \text{Aut } H^k(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \quad (7)$$

defined by

$$\rho^k(\gamma)(\eta) := \psi^k \eta, \quad \eta \in H^k(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}).$$

Thus, thanks to the fact the local systems we are considering is obtained using a fibration, the monodromy representation is in fact induced by homeomorphisms of the fibre  $\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C})$  onto itself. In particular, it follows that the monodromy representation on the cohomology of the fibre  $H^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$  is compatible with the cup-product on  $H^\bullet(\mathbb{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ , in the sense that each  $\rho(\gamma) \in \text{Aut } H^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$  is a ring automorphism for the ring structure given by the cup-product.

Recall that by Poincaré duality we have isomorphisms  $H^k(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{2g-k}(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ . Moreover, by the Künneth formula the groups  $H_k(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$  and  $H^k(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$  are free abelian groups of finite rank  $\binom{2g}{k}$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, 2g$ . Therefore, we can look at  $\mathcal{P}_S^{2g-1}$  as a local system with constant stalk  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  to be identified with singular homology; we will simply write  $\mathcal{P}$  instead of  $\mathcal{P}_S^{2g-1}$ . In other words, from now on we fix  $k = 2g - 1$  and we only deal with the monodromy action induced on the first homology group identifying  $\text{Aut } H_1(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ :

$$\rho : \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Furthermore, we have a non-degenerate intersection form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H_1(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \times H_{2g-1}(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

Any functional  $H_{2g-1}(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is an intersection index of some homology class from  $H_1(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$  and, if a class  $A \in H_1(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$  is such that  $\langle A, B \rangle = 0$  for any  $B \in H_{2g-1}(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ , then  $A$  vanishes as element of  $H_1(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ . The abelian variety  $\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C})$  has a polarization inducing an alternating form  $E$  which takes integer values on the lattice  $\Lambda_s$ . Identifying  $\Lambda_s = H_1(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$  and fixing a symplectic basis  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{2g}$  of  $H_1(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$  for  $E$  we obtain functionals

$$\varphi_i := E(\cdot, \omega_i) : H_1(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, 2g.$$

For any functional  $\varphi_i$  there exists a  $(2g - 1)$ -cycle  $\eta_i \in H_{2g-1}(\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$\varphi_i(\omega_j) = \langle \eta_i, \omega_j \rangle.$$

Since the intersection form is dual to the cup-product and since each  $\rho(\gamma) \in \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  is a ring automorphism for the ring structure given by the cup-product, then  $\rho(\gamma)$  has to preserve the intersection matrix induced by  $E$ , i.e.

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_g \\ -I_g & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In other words the image of the representation  $\rho$  is contained in the following group

$$\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{M \in \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid M^\top P M = P\}.$$

Thus, monodromy representation of periods introduced in Equation (7) can be actually thought as a map:

$$\rho : \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}). \quad (8)$$

**Definition 2.1.** We define the *monodromy group* of  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  at  $s$  as  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A}, s) := \rho(\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s))$ .

Since  $S(\mathbb{C})$  is path connected, all the groups  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A}, s)$  are conjugate when we vary the base point  $s \in S(\mathbb{C})$ : in other words, the monodromy group is defined up to an inner automorphism of the group  $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Thus, fix once and for all a base point  $s \in S(\mathbb{C})$  and denote the group  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A}, s)$  simply by  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$ , without writing any dependencies on the base point.

Since we can identify each first homology group of the fibers with the corresponding lattice  $\Lambda_s$ , then the sheaf  $\mathcal{P}$  can be identified with the sheaf  $\Lambda_S$  defined in Equation (2); it will be called *period sheaf* and will be denoted simply by  $\Lambda$ . In more concrete terms, notice that by construction studying the monodromy of the period sheaf corresponds to studying the analytic continuation of period functions (i.e. the coordinates of the period map defined in Equation (4)) along loops in  $S(\mathbb{C})$  representing classes in the fundamental group based at  $s$ .

**Periods from universal family.** In the case of a universal family, a more explicit description of the monodromy action on periods is described in [17, Section 3]. Keeping the same notations as above, let us denote by  $\pi : \mathfrak{A}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_g$  the universal family of principally polarized  $g$ -dimensional abelian varieties with level- $\ell$ -structure for some  $g \geq 1$  and  $\ell \geq 3$ . Let  $\mathbb{H}_g$  denote Siegel's upper half space, i.e., the symmetric matrices in  $\text{Mat}_{g \times g}(\mathbb{C})$  with positive definite imaginary part. We have holomorphic uniformizing maps

$$u_B : \mathbb{H}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_g(\mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad u : \mathbb{C}^g \times \mathbb{H}_g \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_g(\mathbb{C}).$$

Recall that  $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$ , the group of real points of the symplectic group, acts on  $\mathbb{H}_g$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{A}_g(\mathbb{C})$  and fix  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}_g$  such that  $x = u_B(\tau)$ . If  $1_g$  denotes the  $g \times g$  unit matrix, then the columns of  $(\tau, 1_g)$  are an  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis of  $\mathbb{C}^g$  and we have  $\mathfrak{A}_{g,x}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^g / (\tau \mathbb{Z}^g + \mathbb{Z}^g)$ . The period lattice basis  $(\tau, 1_g)$  allows us to identify  $H_1(\mathfrak{A}_{g,x}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$  with  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ . Let us consider a loop  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{A}_g(\mathbb{C})$  based at  $x$  representing a class of  $\pi_1(\mathbb{A}_g(\mathbb{C}), x)$ . Then a lift  $\tilde{\gamma}$  of  $\gamma$  to  $\mathbb{H}_g$  starting at  $\tau$  ends at  $M\tau \in \mathbb{H}_g$  for some

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Then  $M\tau$  is the period matrix of the abelian variety  $\mathbb{C}^g / (M\tau \mathbb{Z}^g + \mathbb{Z}^g)$  which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^g / (\tau \mathbb{Z}^g + \mathbb{Z}^g)$ : more precisely, the isomorphism  $\mathbb{C}^g / (\tau \mathbb{Z}^g + \mathbb{Z}^g) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g / (M\tau \mathbb{Z}^g + \mathbb{Z}^g)$  is induced by the map

$$\tau u + v \mapsto ((c\tau + d)^\top)^{-1}(\tau u + v) = (M\tau, 1_g)(M^\top)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^g$  are column vectors; in what follows sometimes we will interpret  $u, v$  as row vectors and we will consider the transposition of the previous relation. Therefore, the monodromy representation expressed in these coordinates is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : \pi_1(\mathbb{A}_g(\mathbb{C}), x) &\rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}) \\ [\gamma] &\mapsto (M^\top)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Notice that the monodromy action is a right action on period functions, it can be obviously interpreted as a left action by putting  $h \cdot (M\tau, 1_g) := (M\tau, 1_g)\rho(h)$ .

The previous considerations about periods of universal families allow us to obtain some results on periods of the abelian scheme  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ : we are able to construct period functions of  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  by means of periods of the universal family  $\pi : \mathfrak{A}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_g$ . Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{A}_g(\mathbb{C})$  be a simply connected open set of an open covering of  $\mathbb{A}_g$  where holomorphic period functions do exist for the universal abelian scheme, see Equation (3); denote by  $\omega_{V,1}^{\mathfrak{A}_g}, \dots, \omega_{V,2g}^{\mathfrak{A}_g}$  such local (holomorphic) period functions. Fix a base point  $s \in S(\mathbb{C})$ , not a ramification point for the modular map  $p : S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_g$ , and let  $x = p(s) \in \mathbb{A}_g(\mathbb{C})$ . In a connected and simply connected neighborhood  $U$  of  $s$  in  $S(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $p(U) = V$ , we can holomorphically define a basis  $\omega_{U,1}, \dots, \omega_{U,2g}$  of the period lattices by the equations

$$\omega_{U,i} = \omega_{V,i}^{\mathfrak{A}_g} \circ p. \tag{10}$$

Locally on suitable open subsets  $U \subset S(\mathbb{C})$ , this gives a basis for  $\Lambda_s$  made up of holomorphic functions  $\omega_{U,1}, \dots, \omega_{U,2g} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Recall that the monodromy group of the universal family  $\text{Mon}(\mathfrak{A}_g)$  is a finite index subgroup of  $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ . When the modular map  $p : S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_g$  is finite, the monodromy group  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  of  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  is still a finite index subgroup of  $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  thanks to Equation (10); in particular,  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  is Zariski-dense in  $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Moreover, when the image of the modular map  $p(S)$  is not contained in any proper special subvariety of  $\mathbb{A}_g$ , the monodromy group  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  is still Zariski-dense in  $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  by [3, Remark 10.1.2].

**Lemma 2.3.** *The natural action of the group  $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  on  $\mathbb{Q}^{2g}$  is irreducible.*

*Proof.* Assume that there exists a non-trivial subvector space  $W$  of  $\mathbb{Q}^{2g}$  which is fixed by the action of  $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Since the symplectic group  $\text{Sp}_{2g}$  is a simple algebraic Lie group defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , by a theorem of Borel and Harish-Chandra [7, Theorem 7.8] the group  $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  is a lattice in  $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$ . By Borel density theorem (see [6]) we have that  $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  is Zariski dense in  $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$ , and so it is Zariski dense in  $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Q})$ . This implies that  $W$  is fixed by the action of  $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Since the action of  $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Q})$  on  $\mathbb{Q}^{2g}$  is irreducible (see [20, Proposition 3.2]), we get  $W = \mathbb{Q}^{2g}$  which concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *If the image of the modular map  $p(S)$  is not contained in any proper special subvariety of  $\mathbb{A}_g$ , the action of the monodromy group  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  on the lattice of periods is irreducible.*

*Proof.* This is an easy consequence of [Remark 2.2](#) and [Lemma 2.3](#). □

**Remark 2.5.** The previous considerations yield some conclusion on the global definition of periods on the base  $S(\mathbb{C})$ . We have seen that period functions  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{2g}$  can be locally defined on simply connected open sets  $U \subseteq S(\mathbb{C})$  via [Equation \(10\)](#) for any abelian scheme  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ . These functions may be analytically continued through the whole of  $S(\mathbb{C})$ , but it's impossible to globally define them: they turn out to be multi-valued functions, i.e. they have quite nontrivial monodromy when traveling along closed paths.

To be more precise, since the group  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  is non-trivial then the functions  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{2g}$  cannot be all defined continuously on the whole of  $S(\mathbb{C})$ . Moreover, when the action of  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  on the lattice of periods is irreducible, neither one of  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{2g}$  nor any single non-zero element of the period lattice can be defined on the whole of  $S(\mathbb{C})$ . This last thing is also proved in [[16](#), Lemma 5.6] for any abelian scheme over a curve without using irreducibility properties of the monodromy action.

## 2.4 Relative monodromy of abelian logarithms

Let us consider the exponential map  $\exp : \text{Lie}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  defined in [Section 2.2](#). Notice that it is a topological cover. Thus, it induces a monodromy action of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}), p)$  on the fiber  $\exp^{-1}(p)$ , where we assume  $\phi(p) = s$ . Now, let  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a section of the abelian scheme. By restricting the exponential map to  $\sigma(S)$  and identifying the fundamental groups  $\pi_1(\sigma(S(\mathbb{C})), p)$  and  $\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$ , we obtain a monodromy action of  $\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$  on the fiber  $\exp^{-1}(\sigma(s))$ .

Recalling the definition of logarithm given in [Equation \(5\)](#), the set  $\exp^{-1}(\sigma(s))$  is the set of all possible determinations of the abelian logarithm of  $\sigma$  at the point  $s$ . Every two such determinations differ each other by an element of the lattice  $\Lambda_s$ , thus we can identify the set  $\exp^{-1}(\sigma(s))$  with  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ . Therefore, we get the monodromy action

$$c : \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \tag{11}$$

which is determined by the analytic continuation of a fixed branch of  $\log_\sigma(s)$  along loops representing classes in  $\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$ .

To be more explicit, fix a determination  $\log_\sigma(s)$  of the logarithm of  $\sigma$  at  $s$ . The analytic continuation of  $\log_\sigma(s)$  along a loop representing some  $h \in \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$  is of the form

$$\log_\sigma + u_1^h \omega_1 + \dots + u_{2g}^h \omega_{2g}, \tag{12}$$

where  $(u_1^h, \dots, u_{2g}^h)^\top = c(h)$  is the column vector obtained by means of [Equation \(11\)](#).

Now, let us look at the simultaneous monodromy action of  $G := \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$  on periods and logarithm. By [Equation \(8\)](#) and [Equation \(11\)](#), we can provide a new representation

$$\theta_\sigma : G \rightarrow \text{SL}_{2g+1}(\mathbb{Z}),$$

where every matrix  $\theta_\sigma(h)$  is of the form

$$\theta_\sigma(h) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(h) & c(h) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{13}$$

where  $\rho(h) \in \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}), c(h) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ . Note that the matrix  $\rho(h)$  acts on the periods and does not depend on  $\sigma$ . Moreover, the vector  $c(h)$  encodes the action of  $h$  on determinations of the logarithm  $\log_\sigma$ . Define the monodromy group of the section  $\sigma$  as  $M_\sigma := \theta_\sigma(G)$ .

Let's continue considering an abelian scheme  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  and a non-zero section  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . Generally neither a basis of periods nor a logarithm  $\log_\sigma$  can be globally defined on the whole of  $S(\mathbb{C})$  (see [Remark 2.5](#) and [Remark 2.8](#) below), but obviously they can be globally defined on the universal cover of  $S$ . Studying the related monodromy problems corresponds to finding out the minimal (unramified) cover of  $S$  on which both a basis of the periods and a logarithm of the section can be defined. With this in mind, we first call  $S^* \rightarrow S$  the minimal (unramified) cover of  $S$  on which a basis for the period lattice can be globally defined and we set  $S_\sigma \rightarrow S^*$  to be the minimal cover of  $S^*$  where one can define the logarithm of  $\sigma$ . The tower of covers is represented in the diagram:

$$S_\sigma \rightarrow S^* \rightarrow S. \tag{14}$$

In particular, the group  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  corresponds to the Galois group of the covering map  $S^* \rightarrow S$ , while the group  $M_\sigma$  corresponds to the Galois group of the covering map  $S_\sigma \rightarrow S$ . Our interest is in studying the relative monodromy of the logarithm of a section with respect to the monodromy of periods, i.e. studying the covering map  $S_\sigma \rightarrow S^*$ . Topologically, this is the same as looking at the variation of logarithm via analytic continuation along loops on  $S(\mathbb{C})$  which leave periods unchanged. Moreover, in terms of Equation (8) and Equation (13) this corresponds to studying the group  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}} := \theta_\sigma(\ker \rho)$ , which we define as *relative monodromy group of  $\sigma$* .

The group  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  gives information on the “pure monodromy” of the Betti map, and this is a strong knowledge for obtaining transcendence results which for example allow to count torsion points in issues with “unlikely intersection” flavour. Note that  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  is clearly a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ ; it is useful for applications knowing when this subgroup is trivial and how large it is.

**Example 2.6.** Let us illustrate what happens in a simple case, i.e. when the section is torsion. Thus, let  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a torsion section. By the properties of Betti map, any logarithm of  $\sigma$  is a rational constant combination of periods; i.e.

$$\log_\sigma = q_1\omega_1 + \cdots + q_{2g}\omega_{2g},$$

where  $q_1, \dots, q_{2g} \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore, a loop which leaves unchanged periods via analytic continuation, leaves also unchanged the logarithm of such a section. In other words, the cover  $S_\sigma \rightarrow S^*$  is trivial in this case and then  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}} \cong \{0\}$ .

**Remark 2.7.** Note that the Zariski-closures of the discrete groups  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $M_\sigma$  as far as the kernel of the projection  $\ker(\overline{M_\sigma} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})})$  are the differential Galois groups of some Picard-Vessiot extensions of  $\mathbb{C}(S)$  obtained with  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{2g}, \log_\sigma$  and their derivatives (see [11] for further details about differential Galois theory and Picard-Vessiot extensions). In these terms, the differential Galois group  $\ker(\overline{M_\sigma} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})})$  was just studied in [1], [4], [5]; anyway, those results give no information on the relative monodromy of the logarithm over  $S^*$  because they involve the Zariski closures of  $M_\sigma$  and  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$ : in fact, in [10] the authors pointed out that it may happen that the group  $\ker(\overline{M_\sigma} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})})$  contains quite limited information on  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  since the former may be larger than expected in comparison with the latter. Thus, in order to obtain information on  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$ , we have to introduce considerations of different nature with respect to Bertrand’s and André’s theorems.

Similarly to period functions, once we have locally defined the logarithm of a section as in Equation (5) we can think about its analytic continuation through the whole of  $S(\mathbb{C})$ . As a first example, let us focus on the zero-section  $\sigma_0$  which associates to each  $s \in S(\mathbb{C})$  the origin  $O_s$  of the corresponding fiber  $\mathcal{A}_s$ . A logarithm of  $\sigma_0$  is given by the zero function

$$\log_{\sigma_0} : S(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g, \quad \log_{\sigma_0}(s) = 0 \text{ for each } s \in S(\mathbb{C}).$$

Thus, in this case we can find a globally defined logarithm on the whole of  $S(\mathbb{C})$ : in fact it has no monodromy. For algebraic sections, this is the only case in which such a global logarithm exists. For the sake of completeness, we briefly resume a proof of this fact.

**Remark 2.8.** Let  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  be an abelian scheme. We use Lang-Néron theorem [23, Theorem 1] to show that a non-zero algebraic section  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  which is not contained in the fixed part cannot admit a globally defined logarithm on  $S(\mathbb{C})$ . In fact, assume by contradiction that  $\log_\sigma$  exists globally on  $S(\mathbb{C})$ , then  $\frac{\sigma}{n} := \exp \circ (\frac{1}{n} \log_\sigma)$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  is a holomorphic section such that  $n \cdot \frac{\sigma}{n} = \sigma$ . This means that  $\sigma$  is infinitely divisible in  $\Sigma(S)$  contradicting Lang-Néron theorem.

By Equation (12), fixed a determination of  $\log_\sigma$  on an open set  $U$  any element  $h \in G := \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$  acts by analytic continuation on it in the following way:

$$h \cdot \log_\sigma = \log_\sigma + u_1^h \omega_1 + \cdots + u_{2g}^h \omega_{2g},$$

where  $u_1^h, \dots, u_{2g}^h \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Choose  $h_1, h_2 \in G$ ; by looking at the action of  $h_1 h_2$  and recalling Equation (9), we have

$$\log_\sigma \xrightarrow{h_2} \log_\sigma + (u_1^{h_2}, \dots, u_{2g}^{h_2}) \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \vdots \\ \omega_{2g} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{h_1} \log_\sigma + (u_1^{h_1}, \dots, u_{2g}^{h_1}) \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \vdots \\ \omega_{2g} \end{pmatrix} + (u_1^{h_2}, \dots, u_{2g}^{h_2}) \rho(h_1)^\top \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \vdots \\ \omega_{2g} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, we obtain the relation

$$(u_1^{h_1 h_2}, \dots, u_{2g}^{h_1 h_2}) = (u_1^{h_1}, \dots, u_{2g}^{h_1}) + (u_1^{h_2}, \dots, u_{2g}^{h_2}) \rho(h_1)^\top.$$

In other words the map  $h \mapsto (u_1^h, \dots, u_{2g}^h)$  is a cocycle for the described action of  $G$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ . Moreover, in the next proposition we prove that a section admitting a globally defined logarithm is characterized by the fact that the map  $h \mapsto (u_1^h, \dots, u_{2g}^h)$  is a coboundary for the aforementioned action, i.e. there exists a fixed vector  $(u_1, \dots, u_{2g}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  such that  $(u_1^h, \dots, u_{2g}^h) = (u_1, \dots, u_{2g}) (\rho(h)^\top - 1_{2g})$  for all  $h \in G$ . Thus, the just defined cocycle determines an element in the cohomology group  $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$  that describes the obstruction for a(n analytic) section to have a globally defined logarithm.

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a holomorphic section and  $\log_\sigma$  a determination of its logarithm over an open set  $U \subset S(\mathbb{C})$ . The section admits a globally defined logarithm on  $S(\mathbb{C})$  if and only if the associated cocycle  $h \mapsto (u_1^h, \dots, u_{2g}^h)$  is a coboundary.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\sigma$  admits a globally defined logarithm  $\ell : S(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$ . Then the two determinations  $\ell$  and  $\log_\sigma$  over  $U$  differ by a period  $\omega := n_1 \omega_1 + \dots + n_{2g} \omega_{2g}$ , i.e.

$$\log_\sigma = \ell + n_1 \omega_1 + \dots + n_{2g} \omega_{2g}.$$

For  $h \in G$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot \log_\sigma &= h \cdot (\ell + n_1 \omega_1 + \dots + n_{2g} \omega_{2g}) = \ell + (n_1, \dots, n_{2g}) \rho(h)^\top \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \vdots \\ \omega_{2g} \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \log_\sigma + [(n_1, \dots, n_{2g}) \rho(h)^\top - (n_1, \dots, n_{2g})] \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \vdots \\ \omega_{2g} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the corresponding cocycle is given by

$$h \mapsto (n_1, \dots, n_{2g}) \rho(h)^\top - (n_1, \dots, n_{2g})$$

for  $h \in G$  and a fixed pair  $(n_1, \dots, n_{2g}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ , hence it is a coboundary.

Viceversa, let us suppose to have a logarithm  $\log_\sigma$  over  $U$  and that there exists a fixed  $2g$ -tuple  $(n_1, \dots, n_{2g}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  such that

$$h \cdot \log_\sigma = \log_\sigma + [(n_1, \dots, n_{2g}) \rho(h)^\top - (n_1, \dots, n_{2g})] \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \vdots \\ \omega_{2g} \end{pmatrix}$$

for each  $h \in G$ . Let us define the function

$$\ell := \log_\sigma - n_1 \omega_1 - \dots - n_{2g} \omega_{2g},$$

which is another determination of the logarithm of  $\sigma$ . Looking at the action of  $G$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot \ell &= h \cdot (\log_\sigma - n_1 \omega_1 - \dots - n_{2g} \omega_{2g}) = \\ &= \log_\sigma + [(n_1, \dots, n_{2g}) \rho(h)^\top - (n_1, \dots, n_{2g})] \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \vdots \\ \omega_{2g} \end{pmatrix} - (n_1, \dots, n_{2g}) \rho(h)^\top \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \vdots \\ \omega_{2g} \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \log_\sigma - n_1 \omega_1 - \dots - n_{2g} \omega_{2g} = \ell. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\ell$  is a globally defined logarithm of  $\sigma$  on  $S(\mathbb{C})$ . □

### 3 Proof of the main results

#### 3.1 Invariance results

In this section we want to provide some preliminary results about the relative monodromy group  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  in order to prove that our main theorem are insensitive to some natural operations. First of all, let us notice that [Theorem 1.2](#) is invariant under isogenies: this was proven in [[33](#), Theorem 3.6]. In what follows we describe other invariance results.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Theorem 1.2 is invariant by finite base change: in other words, if  $\varphi : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  is a finite morphism and  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  is the pullback of  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  via  $\varphi$ , then [Theorem 1.2](#) for  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  implies [Theorem 1.2](#) for  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ .*

*Proof.* Let us denote by  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{2g}$  a basis of periods of  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ . We can define  $\widetilde{\omega}_1, \dots, \widetilde{\omega}_{2g}$ , a basis of periods of  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ , by the equations

$$\widetilde{\omega}_1 = \omega_1 \circ \varphi, \quad \dots, \quad \widetilde{\omega}_{2g} = \omega_{2g} \circ \varphi. \quad (15)$$

Fix two base points  $\tilde{s} \in \tilde{S}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $s \in S(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\varphi(\tilde{s}) = s$ . We have the associated monodromy representations:

$$\rho : \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s) \rightarrow \text{Mon}(\mathcal{A}), \quad \tilde{\rho} : \pi_1(\tilde{S}(\mathbb{C}), \tilde{s}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}).$$

By [Equation \(15\)](#), we have  $\tilde{\rho}(h) = \rho(\varphi_*(h))$  for each  $h \in \pi_1(\tilde{S}(\mathbb{C}), \tilde{s})$  where  $\varphi_* : \pi_1(\tilde{S}(\mathbb{C}), \tilde{s}) \rightarrow \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$  denotes the induced homomorphism between fundamental groups. In particular, we obtain

$$\varphi_*(\ker \tilde{\rho}) = \ker \rho \cap \varphi_*(\pi_1(\tilde{S}(\mathbb{C}), \tilde{s})). \quad (16)$$

Let  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a non-torsion section of  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ . Since  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  is obtained as pullback of  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ , then the abelian varieties  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{s}}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_s$  are canonically identified; therefore the pullback  $\varphi^*(\sigma) := \sigma \circ \varphi : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  is a non-torsion section of  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ . We have the associated monodromy representations

$$\theta_\sigma : \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s) \rightarrow \text{SL}_{2g+1}(\mathbb{Z}), \quad \theta_{\varphi^*(\sigma)} : \pi_1(\tilde{S}(\mathbb{C}), \tilde{s}) \rightarrow \text{SL}_{2g+1}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Using again the fact that the abelian varieties  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{s}}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_s$  are canonically identified, we obtain that a determination of the logarithm of  $\varphi^*(\sigma)$  at  $\tilde{s}$  can be defined by the equation

$$\log_{\varphi^*(\sigma)}(\tilde{s}) := \log_\sigma(s). \quad (17)$$

Hence, we have  $\theta_{\varphi^*(\sigma)}(h) = \theta_\sigma(\varphi_*(h))$  for each  $h \in \pi_1(\tilde{S}(\mathbb{C}), \tilde{s})$ . Now, let us consider the relative monodromy group

$$M_{\varphi^*(\sigma)}^{\text{rel}} = \theta_{\varphi^*(\sigma)}(\ker \tilde{\rho}).$$

By [Equation \(16\)](#) and [Equation \(17\)](#), we obtain

$$M_{\varphi^*(\sigma)}^{\text{rel}} = \theta_\sigma(\varphi_*(\ker \tilde{\rho})) = \theta_\sigma(\ker \rho \cap \varphi_*(\pi_1(\tilde{S}(\mathbb{C}), \tilde{s}))).$$

Since  $\ker \rho \cap \varphi_*(\pi_1(\tilde{S}(\mathbb{C}), \tilde{s})) \subset \ker \rho$ , we get  $M_{\varphi^*(\sigma)}^{\text{rel}} \subseteq M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$ . The conclusion follows.  $\square$

Let us consider a non-torsion section  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . Note that the representation  $\theta_\sigma$  is defined in terms of [Equation \(12\)](#), thus it depends on the branch of logarithm we fix. Here, we want to prove that  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  is independent of the choice of branch of  $\log_\sigma$  and remains unchanged under some operations on the section.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a non-torsion section. Then*

- (i) *the group  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  does not depend on the choice of branch of  $\log_\sigma$ ;*
- (ii) *the groups  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  and  $M_{n\sigma}^{\text{rel}}$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* (i): Choose two branches of  $\log_\sigma$ , say  $\ell_\sigma^1$  and  $\ell_\sigma^2$  and denote by  $M_{\sigma,1}^{\text{rel}}$  and  $M_{\sigma,2}^{\text{rel}}$  the corresponding relative monodromy groups. Since the two branches  $\ell_\sigma^1$  and  $\ell_\sigma^2$  have to differ by a period, the conclusion follows: in fact, since any loop  $\alpha$  in  $S(\mathbb{C})$  whose homotopy class lies in  $\ker \rho$  leaves periods unchanged, then  $\ell_\sigma^1$  and  $\ell_\sigma^2$  have the same variation by analytic continuation along  $\alpha$ ; thus we get  $M_{\sigma,1}^{\text{rel}} = M_{\sigma,2}^{\text{rel}}$ .

(ii): Fixed a branch  $\ell_\sigma$  of  $\log_\sigma$ , a determination  $\ell_{n\sigma}$  of the logarithm of  $n\sigma$  can be defined by the equation

$$\ell_{n\sigma} = n\ell_\sigma.$$

For any loop  $\alpha$  representing a homotopy class  $h \in \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$  we have the corresponding variations:

$$h \cdot \ell_{n\sigma} = \ell_{n\sigma} + \omega_\alpha^{n\sigma}, \quad h \cdot \ell_\sigma = \ell_\sigma + \omega_\alpha^\sigma,$$

where  $\omega_\alpha^{n\sigma} = n\omega_\alpha^\sigma$ . Thus  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  and  $M_{n\sigma}^{\text{rel}}$  are isomorphic. □

### 3.2 Non triviality of the relative monodromy

In this section we prove [Theorem 1.2](#), i.e. that  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  is non-trivial.

We are going to combine some topological techniques and cohomology theory in order to get some control on the relative monodromy of sections. Let's keep the same notation as above and denote by  $T := p(S) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_g$  the image of the modular map, where  $\mathfrak{A}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_g$  denotes some universal family of  $g$ -dimensional abelian varieties with some fixed level- $\ell$ -structure and without locally constant parts. Thanks to [Lemma 3.1](#), we can assume that the abelian scheme  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  is endowed with a generically finite modular map  $p : S \rightarrow T$ . Let  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a ramified section with respect to  $p$ . In order to simplify the notation after eventually restricting to Zariski open dense subsets we can assume that  $p : S \rightarrow T$  is finite, note that  $\sigma$  is still ramified (here we use the fact that  $\sigma$  must be ramified with respect to  $p$ ).

One of the main ideas of the proof is to construct a certain “unramified family” (i.e. unramified base change of the universal family) associated to our abelian scheme, which forces some constraints on the logarithm of ramified sections. To this end, let's consider the classifying map  $p : S \rightarrow T$  and look at the induced homomorphism on fundamental groups, i.e.  $p_* : \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s) \rightarrow \pi_1(T(\mathbb{C}), x)$  where  $s \in S(\mathbb{C})$  and  $x \in T(\mathbb{C})$  are two base points such that  $x = p(s)$ . The inclusion  $p_*\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s) \subseteq \pi_1(T(\mathbb{C}), x)$  gives rise to a finite unramified morphism  $q' : S'(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow T(\mathbb{C})$  that by [[24](#), Corollary 12.19] satisfies

$$q'_*\pi_1(S'(\mathbb{C}), s') = p_*\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s), \tag{18}$$

where  $s'$  is a fixed base point with  $q'(s') = x$ . By [Equation \(18\)](#), we can lift  $p$  to a morphism  $q : S(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow S'(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $q(s) = s'$ . Considering the corresponding abelian schemes we obtain the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}'(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{A}_{g|T}(\mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{q} & S'(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{q'} & T(\mathbb{C}). \\ & & \searrow & \nearrow & \\ & & & p & \end{array} \tag{19}$$

Notice that the abelian scheme  $\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow S'$  is an unramified family by construction, since  $q' : S'(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow T(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_g(\mathbb{C})$  is an unramified morphism.

**Remark 3.3.** We want to point out some algebraic and topological properties of the above construction. First we show that  $q$  and  $q'$  are both finite morphisms of schemes: since  $p$  is finite, then  $q$  and  $q'$  are quasi-finite. Since  $q'$  is a finite-sheeted (unramified) topological cover, then it is proper in the sense that the inverse image of every compact set is compact. This implies that  $q'$  is a proper morphism in the sense of scheme theory, and thus  $q'$  is finite. In particular,  $q'$  is also a separated morphism. Since  $p = q' \circ q$  is finite and  $q'$  is separated, then  $q$  is proper. Since  $q$  is proper and quasi-finite, then  $q$  is finite.

From the topological point of view, observe that the induced homomorphism  $q_* : \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s) \rightarrow \pi_1(S'(\mathbb{C}), s')$  is surjective. This follows from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & \pi_1(S'(\mathbb{C}), s') & \xrightarrow{q'_*} & p_*\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s), \\ & & \searrow & \nearrow & \\ & & & p_* & \end{array} \tag{20}$$

where  $q'_* : \pi_1(S'(\mathbb{C}), s') \rightarrow p_*\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$  is an isomorphism.

Let's fix some notations. We denote by  $\exp$  and  $\exp'$  the abelian exponential maps of the schemes  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  and  $\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow S'$ , respectively. Moreover, recall that  $\Sigma^{\text{an}}$  is the sheaf of holomorphic sections of the scheme  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ , while  $\Sigma(S)$  denotes its algebraic sections. Analogously, we denote by  $\Sigma'^{\text{an}}$  and  $\Sigma'(S')$  the sheaf of holomorphic sections and the algebraic sections of the abelian scheme  $\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow S'$ , respectively. Now, we want to define a way of mapping sections of  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  to sections of  $\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow S'$ , and viceversa.

First of all we define the pull-back operator: in fact notice that for any  $\tau' \in \Sigma'^{\text{an}}(S')$  the composition  $\tau' \circ q$  defines a holomorphic map  $S(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'(\mathbb{C})$ ; after canonically identifying the fibers of the type  $\mathcal{A}_y$  and  $\mathcal{A}'_{q(y)}$ , we obtain an element  $q^*(\tau') \in \Sigma^{\text{an}}(S)$ . In other words, we obtain a morphism of abelian groups

$$q^* : \Sigma'^{\text{an}}(S') \rightarrow \Sigma^{\text{an}}(S).$$

In addition if  $\tau'$  is algebraic then  $q^*(\tau')$  is still algebraic, i.e.  $q^*(\Sigma'(S')) \subseteq \Sigma(S)$ .

In the opposite direction, since  $q$  is a finite morphism, we define the trace operator

$$\text{Tr} : \Sigma^{\text{an}}(S) \rightarrow \Sigma'^{\text{an}}(S')$$

in the following way: we already noticed that for each  $y \in S(\mathbb{C})$  the fibers  $\mathcal{A}_y$  and  $\mathcal{A}'_{q(y)}$  are canonically identified, so for  $\tau \in \Sigma^{\text{an}}(S)$  we define  $\text{Tr}(\tau) : S'(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'(\mathbb{C})$  by setting

$$\text{Tr}(\tau)(y') := \sum_{y \in q^{-1}(y')} m_y \tau(y) \in \mathcal{A}'_{y'},$$

where  $m_y$  is the ramification index of  $q$  at  $y$ . We have  $\text{Tr}(\Sigma(S)) \subseteq \Sigma'(S')$ . Moreover, we point out that the following property holds for each holomorphic section  $\tau' : S'(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$\text{Tr} \circ q^*(\tau') = \text{deg } q \cdot \tau'. \quad (21)$$

**Remark 3.4.** When  $q$  is generically finite (but not necessarily finite) we can anyway define a trace operator for the algebraic sections  $\text{Tr} : \Sigma(S) \rightarrow \Sigma'(S')$  restricting the previous construction to the open sets where  $q$  is finite.

It is clear that the trace operator and the pullback operator can be defined also for the sections of the vector bundles  $(\mathcal{O}_S^{\text{an}})^{\oplus g}$  and  $(\mathcal{O}_{S'}^{\text{an}})^{\oplus g}$ , i.e. for vectors of holomorphic functions on  $S$  and  $S'$ . They commute with the exponentials, in the sense that for all holomorphic functions  $f : S(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$  and  $f' : S'(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$  we get

$$\text{Tr}(\exp(f)) = \exp'(\text{Tr}(f)) \quad \text{and} \quad \exp(q^*(f')) = q^*(\exp'(f')). \quad (22)$$

Now we want to consider some cohomology groups related to the monodromy of logarithms. In our situation the bases of abelian schemes are too large to be able of having control on their cohomology. If we consider an algebraic curve  $C \subset S(\mathbb{C})$ , we can think of restricting the diagram of Equation (19) to  $C$ : more precisely, if we denote  $C' := q(C)$  and  $D := q'(C')$  we get a new diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{A}|_C(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}'|_{C'}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{A}_{g|_D}(\mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \xrightarrow{q_C} & C' & \xrightarrow{q'_{C'}} & D, \\ & \searrow & \text{---} & \nearrow & \\ & & p_C & & \end{array} \quad (23)$$

where  $q_C, q'_{C'}$ , and  $p_C$  denote the restrictions of the corresponding maps. In this situation, if  $C'$  and  $D$  are still of dimension 1, we can clearly consider the analogous notions of trace operator and pullback operator as well as the restriction of a section  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  to  $C$ , which will be denoted by  $\sigma_C$ . We also have analogous notions of monodromy representations and monodromy groups, e.g. we will write  $\rho_C, \theta_{\sigma_C}, \text{Mon}(\mathcal{A}|_C)$  and  $M_{\sigma_C}^{\text{rel}}$  for the obvious objects associated to the scheme  $\mathcal{A}|_C \rightarrow C$  and to the section  $\sigma_C : C \rightarrow \mathcal{A}|_C$ ; we do the same for  $C'$ . Moreover, if we denote by  $i : C \hookrightarrow S(\mathbb{C})$  and  $i' : C' \hookrightarrow S'(\mathbb{C})$  the natural embeddings and choose base points  $s \in C$  and  $s' \in C'$ , from a topological point of view we obtain the following diagram involving the induced homomorphisms between fundamental groups (for the surjectivity of the upper horizontal arrow see Remark 3.3):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & \pi_1(S'(\mathbb{C}), s') \\ i_* \uparrow & & \uparrow i'_* \\ \pi_1(C, s) & \xrightarrow{q_{C*}} & \pi_1(C', s'). \end{array} \quad (24)$$

Anyway, this kind of restriction causes the loss of much information from the starting schemes. With the next result we want to overcome the problem of having large bases, by showing that we can restrict the abelian schemes to some suitable curves lying into the bases so that the topological and monodromy properties are preserved.

**Lemma 3.5.** *There exists a curve  $C \subseteq S(\mathbb{C})$  with the following properties:*

- (i) *the morphism  $q_C : C \rightarrow C'$  appearing in Equation (23) is finite, the curves  $C$  and  $C'$  are affine and the abelian schemes  $\mathcal{A}|_C \rightarrow C$ ,  $\mathcal{A}'|_{C'} \rightarrow C'$  have no fixed part;*
- (ii)  $\text{Tr}(\sigma_C) = \text{Tr}(\sigma)|_{C'}$ ;
- (iii) *the homomorphisms  $i_*$  and  $i'_*$  appearing in Equation (24) are surjective;*
- (iv) *if we denote by  $\rho'$  the monodromy representation of the abelian scheme  $\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow S'$ , then we have  $\rho_C = \rho \circ i_*$ ,  $\rho_{C'} = \rho' \circ i'_*$  and  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Mon}(\mathcal{A}|_C)$ ,  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A}') = \text{Mon}(\mathcal{A}'|_{C'})$ ;*
- (v)  $\ker q_{C*} \subseteq \ker \rho_C$ ;
- (vi)  $\theta_{\sigma_C} = \theta_\sigma \circ i_*$  and  $\theta_\sigma(\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)) = \theta_{\sigma_C}(\pi_1(C, s))$ ;
- (vii) *if  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}} = \{0\}$ , then  $M_{\sigma_C}^{\text{rel}} = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* (i) In order to construct the curve  $C$  let us consider the general hyperplane section  $Y = H \cap S(\mathbb{C})$  and choose a base point  $s \in Y$ . By Lefschetz theorem on quasi-projective varieties [19, 2.2], the natural map  $\pi_1(Y, s) \rightarrow \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$  between fundamental groups is surjective. After iterating the process of taking such generic hyperplane sections we obtain an irreducible affine curve  $C_1$  with the property that the natural map  $\pi_1(C_1, s) \rightarrow \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$  is surjective for a base point  $s \in C_1$ . The restriction of  $q$  to  $C_1$  defines a morphism  $q_{C_1}$  which is finite: in fact, since every closed embedding is a finite morphism and  $q : S \rightarrow S'$  is a finite morphism (see Remark 3.3), then the restriction  $q_{C_1}$  is finite. We define  $C' := q_{C_1}(C_1)$  and  $C := q^{-1}(C')$ . Notice that  $C'$  is an affine curve since  $q_{C_1}$  is finite and surjective; moreover,  $C$  could be no longer irreducible but it is still affine because  $q$  is an affine morphism (since it is finite). Furthermore, since  $q : S \rightarrow S'$  is finite then it is closed. We have a closed embedding  $i : C \hookrightarrow S(\mathbb{C})$ , and we can conclude that the restriction  $q_C : C \rightarrow C'$  is a finite morphism. The abelian scheme  $\mathcal{A}|_C \rightarrow C$  has no fixed part by genericity of the hyperplane sections, and this implies that also  $\mathcal{A}'|_{C'} \rightarrow C'$  has no fixed part.

- (ii) This property is true by construction, since  $C = q^{-1}(C')$ .
- (iii) By (i) we have a surjective homomorphism  $\pi_1(C_1, s) \rightarrow \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$ . Since  $C_1$  is an irreducible component of  $C$  and since removing a finite number of points preserves the fact of having a surjective map on fundamental groups, then the morphism  $i_* : \pi_1(C, s) \rightarrow \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$  is surjective. Then, the surjectivity of  $i'_* : \pi_1(C', s') \rightarrow \pi_1(S'(\mathbb{C}), s')$  follows by Equation (24).
- (iv) Just recall that for abelian schemes obtained as base change we define periods as in Equation (10), so that we have  $\rho_C = \rho \circ i_*$  and  $\rho_{C'} = \rho' \circ i'_*$ . Therefore, the claim follows from (iii).
- (v) Let  $h \in \ker q_{C*}$ . Since we can define periods of  $\mathcal{A}|_C \rightarrow C$  as in Equation (10) and  $q_{C*}(h)$  has a trivial monodromy action on the periods of  $\mathcal{A}'|_{C'} \rightarrow C'$ , then we get  $h \in \ker \rho_C$ ; hence the claim.
- (vi) Since we have the embedding  $i : C \hookrightarrow S(\mathbb{C})$ , we clearly have  $\theta_{\sigma_C}(\pi_1(C), s) \subseteq \theta_\sigma(\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s))$ . In the other direction, by (iv) it is enough to show that each variation of a logarithm of  $\sigma$  induced by an element of  $\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$  can be obtained as a variation of a logarithm of  $\sigma_C$  induced by the monodromy action of some element of  $\pi_1(C, s)$ ; and this is true thanks to the surjectivity of  $i_*$  which was proven in part (iii). This also proves the equality  $\theta_{\sigma_C} = \theta_\sigma \circ i_*$ .
- (vii) Let us assume  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}} = \{0\}$  and consider  $h \in \ker \rho_C$ . By part (iv) we have  $\rho_C = \rho \circ i_*$ , and this implies that  $i_*(h) \in \ker \rho$ . The assumption  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}} = \{0\}$  implies that  $\theta_\sigma(i_*(h)) = 0$ . By part (vi) we have  $\theta_{\sigma_C} = \theta_\sigma \circ i_*$  which implies  $\theta_{\sigma_C}(h) = \theta_\sigma(i_*(h)) = 0$ , i.e.  $M_{\sigma_C}^{\text{rel}} = \{0\}$ .

□

Now, let us consider an algebraic curve  $C \subset S(\mathbb{C})$  satisfying [Lemma 3.5](#). We want to consider the restriction of the exact sequence in [Equation \(6\)](#) to the curve  $C$ . Since  $C$  is a Stein space, by [[15](#), Theorem 5.3.1] the restriction  $\mathcal{L}_\omega(\mathcal{A})|_C$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{O}_C^{\text{an}})^{\oplus g}$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_C^{\text{an}}$  denotes the sheaf of holomorphic functions on  $C$ . Therefore, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda_C \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_C^{\text{an}})^{\oplus g} \rightarrow \Sigma^{\text{an}}|_C \rightarrow 0. \quad (25)$$

Let us study the exact sequence in cohomology groups induced by [Equation \(25\)](#). By [Remark 2.5](#) we can conclude that no non-zero period can be globally defined on  $C$ . In other words, we get  $H^0(C, \Lambda_C) = 0$ . In addition, since  $C$  is a Stein space we have

$$H^1(C, (\mathcal{O}_C^{\text{an}})^{\oplus g}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^g H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C^{\text{an}}) = 0.$$

Thus, we obtain the exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(C, (\mathcal{O}_C^{\text{an}})^{\oplus g}) \rightarrow H^0(C, \Sigma^{\text{an}}|_C) \rightarrow H^1(C, \Lambda_C) \rightarrow 0. \quad (26)$$

By [Proposition 2.9](#), we know that the obstruction to define a global logarithm of a section is given by some Galois cohomology class. We want to explain the interplay between the relevant Galois cohomology group and the exact sequence in [Equation \(26\)](#). Let's fix the notation  $G := \pi_1(C, s)$  for a base point  $s \in C$  and denote by  $\rho_C : G \rightarrow \text{Mon}(\mathcal{A}|_C) \subseteq \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  the monodromy representation associated to the abelian scheme  $\mathcal{A}|_C \rightarrow C$ ; we use the notation  $\bar{h} := \rho_C(h)$ . With the aim of proving how the Galois cohomology interacts with the sheaf cohomology in our situation, we put the following remark in order to interpret the sections of the sheaf of periods as continuous maps on the universal cover of  $C$  which are well-behaved with respect to the action of  $G$ .

**Remark 3.6.** Notice that we can view  $\Lambda_C$  geometrically also as a covering space of the base  $C$  with fibers isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ . Denote by  $u_C : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$  the universal cover of the curve  $C$  and note that the pull-back of  $\Lambda_C$  to the universal covering space  $\tilde{C}$  is the constant sheaf  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ . We can obtain the map  $\Lambda_C \rightarrow C$  via the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{C} \times \mathbb{Z}^{2g} & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{u_C} & C. \end{array}$$

Observe that the group  $G$  acts on  $\tilde{C}$  in the usual way and on  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  via its monodromy representation  $\rho_C$ ; the space  $C$  is then the orbit space of the diagonal action of  $G$  on  $\tilde{C} \times \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ .

For every open set  $V \subset C$ , we can consider the open set  $u_C^{-1}(V)$  which is invariant by the action of  $G$  on  $\tilde{C}$ . Thus, the group of sections  $\Gamma(V, \Lambda_C)$  corresponds to the group of continuous (i.e. locally constant) maps  $w : u_C^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  satisfying  $\bar{h}w = w \circ h$ , for all  $h \in G$ , i.e.  $\bar{h} \cdot (w \circ h^{-1}) = w$ .

We now give a description of the group  $H^1(C, \Lambda_C)$  in terms of the Galois cohomology with respect to the action of  $G$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  induced by the projection  $\rho_C$ . We have the following

**Proposition 3.7.** *With the above notation the Čech cohomology group  $H^1(C, \Lambda_C)$  is canonically isomorphic to the group  $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$ , where the action of  $G$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  is induced by the projection  $\rho_C : G \rightarrow \text{Mon}(\mathcal{A}|_C)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i)_{i \in I}$  be an open covering of  $C$  such that each open set  $V_i$  and each non-empty intersection  $V_{i,j} = V_i \cap V_j$  is contractible, so that the first cohomology space is determined by the cohomology classes of the cocycles associated to this covering. Let us define a homomorphism  $H^1(\mathcal{V}, \Lambda_C) = H^1(C, \Lambda_C) \rightarrow H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$  and prove it is an isomorphism.

Let  $(\eta_{i,j})_{i,j}$  be a cocycle in  $H^1(\mathcal{V}, \Lambda_C)$ , where  $\eta_{i,j} \in \Gamma(V_{i,j}, \Lambda_C)$ . We refer to the diagram of [Remark 3.6](#) and denote by  $u_C : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$  the universal cover of  $C$ ; moreover, let us define  $U_i = u_C^{-1}(V_i)$  and  $U_{i,j} = u_C^{-1}(V_{i,j}) = U_i \cap U_j$ . By considering the pull-backs  $u_C^*(\eta_{i,j})$ , we obtain a cocycle  $w_{i,j}$  with values in  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  for the covering  $u_C^*(\mathcal{V}) = (\pi^{-1}(V_i))_{i \in I}$ . By the above remark, the elements  $w_{i,j}$  can be viewed as continuous (i.e. locally constant) functions  $U_{i,j} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ , satisfying

$$w_{i,j} = \bar{h} \cdot (w_{i,j} \circ h^{-1}) \quad \text{for each } h \in G. \quad (27)$$

Since  $\tilde{C}$  is simply connected, we can write

$$w_{i,j} = w_i - w_j$$

for suitable continuous functions  $w_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ , for  $i \in I$ . Let us define, for every  $h \in G$  and  $i \in I$ , the continuous function  $\gamma_{h,i} : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  by

$$\gamma_{h,i} = w_i - \bar{h} \cdot (w_i \circ h^{-1}).$$

From (27) it follows that on  $U_{i,j} = U_i \cap U_j$  the two functions  $\gamma_{h,i}, \gamma_{h,j}$  coincide. Then we obtain, by gluing the  $\gamma_{h,i}$  for  $i \in I$ , a well-defined continuous function  $\gamma_h : \tilde{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ , which necessarily is a constant vector. By construction, the map  $h \mapsto \gamma_h$  is a cocycle and defines a class  $[h \mapsto \gamma_h]$  in  $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$ . Also, its class only depends on the class  $[(\eta_{i,j})_{i,j}]$  in  $H^1(C, \Lambda_C)$ . Therefore, we obtain a group homomorphism, defined as follows:

$$H^1(\mathcal{V}, \Lambda_C) \rightarrow H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}^{2g}), \quad [(\eta_{i,j})_{i,j}] \mapsto [h \mapsto \gamma_h].$$

Let us verify that this homomorphism is injective. Take then a cocycle  $(\eta_{i,j})_{i,j}$  giving rise, via the above procedure, to a coboundary  $h \mapsto \gamma_h$ . Then we can write  $\gamma_h = \gamma - \bar{h} \cdot \gamma$  for a fixed vector  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ ; now, setting  $w'_i = w_i - \gamma$  we obtain that

$$w_{i,j} = w'_i - w'_j,$$

where the  $w'_i$  satisfy the invariance condition

$$\bar{h} \cdot (w'_i \circ h^{-1}) = w'_i, \quad \text{for each } h \in G$$

similar to the relation holding for the  $w_{i,j}$ . By Remark 3.6, this fact means that the  $w'_i$  are of the form  $w'_i = u_C^*(\eta_i)$  for suitable sections  $\eta_i \in \Gamma(V_i, \Lambda_C)$ , so that  $\eta_{i,j} = \eta_i - \eta_j$  is a coboundary.

Let us now verify that the homomorphism  $H^1(\mathcal{V}, \Lambda_C) \rightarrow H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$  is also surjective. Let then  $h \mapsto \gamma_h$  be a cocycle with values in  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ , so that it satisfies

$$\gamma_{h_1 h_2} = \bar{h}_1 \cdot \gamma_{h_2} + \gamma_{h_1}, \quad \text{for each } h_1, h_2 \in G.$$

We want to define functions  $w_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  satisfying

$$w_i - \bar{h} \cdot (w_i \circ h^{-1}) = \gamma_h \quad \text{for each } h \in G. \quad (28)$$

Recall that each open set  $U_i = p^{-1}(V_i)$  is a disjoint union of connected open sets of the form  $h(U_i^0)$ , for  $h \in G$  and for some component  $U_i^0$  of  $U_i$  (actually any choice of a component would work). We can then choose the function  $w_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  so that it vanishes on  $U_i^0$  and, on the component  $h^{-1}(U_i^0)$  its value is  $-\bar{h}^{-1} \cdot \gamma_h$ . Then the cocycle condition satisfied by  $\gamma$  implies the relations Equation (28) on each component  $h(U_i^0)$ , so on the whole  $U_i$ . At this point we have that the functions  $w_i - w_j =: w_{i,j}$  on  $U_i \cap U_j$  are invariant, in the sense that  $\bar{h} \cdot (w_{i,j} \circ h^{-1}) = w_{i,j}$ , so they are of the form  $u_C^*(\eta_{i,j})$  for sections  $\eta_{i,j} \in \Gamma(V_{i,j}, \Lambda_C)$ . □

Therefore, thanks to the exact sequence Equation (26) and Proposition 3.7 we obtain a surjective group homomorphism

$$\Sigma^{\text{an}}|_C(C) \ni \tau \mapsto [\tau] \in H^1(C, \Lambda_C) \simeq H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$$

associating to every holomorphic section a cohomology class, which measures the obstruction of having global abelian logarithm.

**Remark 3.8.** Notice that by Lemma 3.5 also the curve  $C'$  is a Stein space. Moreover, by Remark 2.5 we still obtain  $H^0(C', \Lambda_{C'}) = 0$ . Therefore, the analogous results of Equation (26) and Proposition 3.7 hold if we replace the curve  $C$  by the curve  $C'$ . In particular, for some base point  $s' \in C'$  we have the surjective group homomorphism

$$\Sigma^{\prime, \text{an}}|_{C'}(C') \ni \tau' \mapsto [\tau'] \in H^1(C', \Lambda_{C'}) \simeq H^1(\pi_1(C', s'), \mathbb{Z}^{2g}),$$

where the action of  $\pi_1(C', s')$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  is induced by the monodromy representation  $\rho_{C'} : \pi_1(C', s') \rightarrow \text{Mon}(\mathcal{A}'|_{C'})$ .

**Remark 3.9.** Let us point out the relationship between the cohomology groups  $H^1(\pi_1(S'(\mathbb{C}), s'), \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$  and  $H^1(\pi_1(C', s'), \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$ . By part (iii) of Lemma 3.5 the homomorphism  $i'_* : \pi_1(C', s') \rightarrow \pi_1(S', s')$  is surjective and this induces a homomorphism

$$f : H^1(\pi_1(S'(\mathbb{C}), s'), \mathbb{Z}^{2g}) \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(C', s'), \mathbb{Z}^{2g});$$

more precisely, if  $c' : \pi_1(S'(\mathbb{C}), s') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  is a cocycle representing some cohomology class  $[c']_{S'}$  in  $H^1(\pi_1(S'(\mathbb{C}), s'), \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$  we can define  $f([c']_{S'})$  as the cohomology class in  $H^1(\pi_1(C', s'), \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$  of the cocycle  $c'' : \pi_1(C', s') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  defined as  $c''(h) := c'(i'_*(h))$ . The analogous relation holds for the cohomology groups  $H^1(\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s), \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$  and  $H^1(\pi_1(C, s), \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$ .

We are now ready to prove the first main result of the paper:

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let  $C$  be a curve satisfying Lemma 3.5. We work by contradiction by assuming  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}} = \{0\}$ . By part (vii) of Lemma 3.5 this implies  $M_{\sigma_C}^{\text{rel}} = \{0\}$ , or equivalently  $\ker \rho_C \subseteq \ker \theta_{\sigma_C}$ . Let  $q_{C*} : \pi_1(C, s) \rightarrow \pi_1(C', s')$  be the homomorphism appearing in Equation (24). By part (v) of Lemma 3.5 we have  $\ker q_{C*} \subseteq \ker \rho_C$ ; thus, we have  $\ker q_{C*} \subseteq \ker \theta_{\sigma_C}$ . Introducing the notation  $\bar{G} := q_{C*}\pi_1(C, s)$  we obtain that there exists a homomorphism  $f : \bar{G} \rightarrow \text{SL}_{2g+1}(\mathbb{Z})$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(C, s) & \xrightarrow{q_{C*}} & \bar{G} \\ & \searrow \theta_{\sigma_C} & \downarrow f \\ & & \text{SL}_{2g+1}(\mathbb{Z}) \end{array} \quad (29)$$

commutes; in other words,  $\theta_{\sigma_C}$  factors through  $q_{C*}$ . By using the same notation as in Equation (13), this means that for  $h \in \pi_1(C, s)$  the vector  $c(h)$  only depends on the element  $\bar{h} := q_{C*}(h) \in \bar{G}$ . Then we obtain a cocycle

$$\bar{G} \ni \bar{h} \mapsto c(h) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2g}, \quad (30)$$

representing a certain cohomology class in  $H^1(\bar{G}, \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$  which we denote with  $[\sigma_C]_{\bar{G}}$ . In other words, we have obtained an injective homomorphism  $H^1(\pi_1(C, s), \mathbb{Z}^{2g}) \hookrightarrow H^1(\bar{G}, \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$  which can be used to define a homomorphism

$$\xi : H^0(\Sigma^{\text{an}}|_C, C) \rightarrow H^1(\bar{G}, \mathbb{Z}^{2g}),$$

which to a section  $\tau$  over  $C$  associates a cohomology class which we denote by  $[\tau]_{\bar{G}}$ . We want to show that  $[\sigma_C]_{\bar{G}}$  can be canonically identified with a cohomology class  $[\sigma]_{C'}$  in  $H^1(\pi_1(C', s'), \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$ .

To this end, let us construct some homomorphisms between cohomology groups. Since we are assuming  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}} = \{0\}$  and the map  $q_* : \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s) \rightarrow \pi_1(S'(\mathbb{C}), s')$  is surjective by Remark 3.3, with the same reasoning as in Equation (29) we obtain an injective homomorphism

$$\zeta_1 : H^1(\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s), \mathbb{Z}^{2g}) \hookrightarrow H^1(\pi_1(S'(\mathbb{C}), s'), \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$$

which to a cohomology class  $[\tau]_S$  associates a cohomology class denoted with  $[\tau]_{S'}$ . By Remark 3.9 we have a homomorphism

$$\zeta_2 := f : H^1(\pi_1(S'(\mathbb{C}), s'), \mathbb{Z}^{2g}) \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(C', s'), \mathbb{Z}^{2g}).$$

By composition we obtain a map

$$\zeta_3 := \zeta_2 \circ \zeta_1 : H^1(\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s), \mathbb{Z}^{2g}) \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(C', s'), \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$$

which to a class  $[\tau]_S$  associates a class denoted with  $[\tau]_{C'}$ . Since  $\bar{G}$  is a subgroup of  $\pi_1(C', s')$  the restriction homomorphism induces a homomorphism between cohomology groups

$$\eta : H^1(\pi_1(C', s'), \mathbb{Z}^{2g}) \rightarrow H^1(\bar{G}, \mathbb{Z}^{2g}).$$

Notice that we cannot identify the cohomology groups  $H^1(\bar{G}, \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$  and  $H^1(\pi_1(C', s'), \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$ , but we want to prove that  $\eta$  becomes an isomorphism when restricted to cohomology classes coming from global sections in  $\Sigma(S)$ . Thus, let us denote by  $r : H^0(S, \Sigma^{\text{an}}) \rightarrow H^0(C, \Sigma^{\text{an}}|_C)$  the restriction map which to a section  $\tau$  over  $S$  associates the restriction  $\tau_C$ . Define the homomorphism

$$\zeta : r(H^0(S, \Sigma^{\text{an}})) \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(C', s'), \mathbb{Z}^{2g}), \quad \tau_C \mapsto [\tau]_{C'},$$

where  $\tau \in \Sigma(S)$  is such that  $r(\tau) = \tau_C$ : notice that this map is well-defined by [Lemma 3.5](#). In fact, if  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \Sigma(S)$  are such that  $\tau_{1,C} = \tau_{2,C}$ , then  $M_{\tau_1}^{\text{rel}} = M_{\tau_2}^{\text{rel}}$  by part (vi) of [Lemma 3.5](#). This implies  $[\tau_1]_S = [\tau_2]_S$  and so  $[\tau_1]_{C'} = [\tau_2]_{C'}$ .

Furthermore, by restriction of  $\xi$  we define the homomorphism

$$\xi|_{r(H^0(S, \Sigma^{\text{an}}))} : r(H^0(S, \Sigma^{\text{an}})) \rightarrow H^1(\overline{G}, \mathbb{Z}^{2g}), \quad \tau_C \mapsto [\tau_C]_{\overline{G}}.$$

We claim that  $\text{Im}(\zeta) \cong \text{Im}(\xi|_{r(H^0(S, \Sigma^{\text{an}}))})$ . The restriction of  $\eta$  to  $\text{Im}(\zeta)$  induces a homomorphism

$$\eta' := \eta|_{\text{Im}(\zeta)} : \text{Im}(\zeta) \rightarrow \text{Im}(\xi|_{r(H^0(S, \Sigma^{\text{an}}))}), \quad [\tau]_{C'} \mapsto [\tau_C]_{\overline{G}},$$

where  $r(\tau) = \tau_C$ ; let's prove that  $\eta'$  is an isomorphism. Notice that it is well-defined and surjective by construction, so it's enough to prove that it is injective. To this end, consider  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \Sigma(S)$  such that  $[\tau_1, C]_{\overline{G}} = [\tau_2, C]_{\overline{G}}$ . This implies

$$[\tau_{1,C} - \tau_{2,C}]_{\overline{G}} = [\tau_{1,C}]_{\overline{G}} - [\tau_{2,C}]_{\overline{G}} = 0.$$

Thanks to the injective homomorphism obtained by [Equation \(30\)](#), we get  $[\tau_{1,C} - \tau_{2,C}]_C = 0$ . By part (vi) of [Lemma 3.5](#), we also obtain  $[\tau_1 - \tau_2]_S = 0$ . By applying  $\zeta_3$  we get  $[\tau_1 - \tau_2]_{C'} = 0$ , which means that  $\eta'$  is injective.

Thus, by what we have just proven and by [Remark 3.8](#) the section  $\sigma_C$  determines a cohomology class  $[\sigma_C]_{C'}$  in  $H^1(\pi_1(C', s'), \mathbb{Z}^{2g}) \cong H^1(C', \Lambda_{C'})$ . Again thanks to [Remark 3.8](#), we have that there exists a (possibly transcendental) section  $\sigma' : C' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'|_{C'}$  whose cohomology class  $[\sigma']$  in  $H^1(\pi_1(C', s'), \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$  coincides with the class  $[\sigma_C]_{C'}$  defined above, i.e.  $[\sigma'] = [\sigma_C]_{C'}$ . Therefore, by [Proposition 2.9](#) we obtain a section of the form  $\sigma_C - q_C^*(\sigma') \in \Sigma^{\text{an}}(C)$  that admits a logarithm; let us write

$$\sigma_C - q_C^*(\sigma') = \exp(f), \tag{31}$$

for some holomorphic function  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$ .

Now, by using properties of the operators  $q_C^*$  and  $\text{Tr}$ , we will get a contradiction. Applying first the trace operator and then the pullback operator we obtain:

$$q_C^* \text{Tr}(\sigma_C) - \deg q \cdot q_C^*(\sigma') = \exp(q_C^* \text{Tr}(f)).$$

By using [Equation \(31\)](#), we finally obtain

$$q_C^* \text{Tr}(\sigma_C) - \deg q \cdot \sigma_C = \exp(q_C^* \text{Tr}(f) - \deg q \cdot f).$$

Thus, the section  $q_C^* \text{Tr}(\sigma_C) - \deg q \cdot \sigma_C$  is algebraic and admits a global logarithm. Notice that

$$q_C^* \text{Tr}(\sigma_C) - \deg q \cdot \sigma_C = (q^* \text{Tr}(\sigma) - \deg q \cdot \sigma)|_C.$$

By part (vi) of [Lemma 3.5](#), the section  $q^* \text{Tr}(\sigma) - \deg q \cdot \sigma$  is also an algebraic section admitting a global logarithm on  $S(\mathbb{C})$ . By [\[22, Proposition 2.10\]](#) and [Remark 2.8](#) we must have  $\sigma = q^* \text{Tr}(\sigma) / \deg q$ , which leads to a contradiction with the fact that  $\sigma$  is a ramified section. □

**Remark 3.10.** Note that, under the hypothesis of generic finiteness of the modular map, from [Example 2.6](#) and [Theorem 1.2](#) it follows immediately that a torsion section  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is not ramified with respect to  $p$ . When  $S$  is a curve, the general fact that for a section being torsion implies being unramified follows from [\[31, Theorem 1\]](#).

### 3.3 Rank of relative monodromy

Here, we prove [Corollary 1.3](#).

We keep the same notations as above, in particular recall that  $\rho : \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s) \rightarrow \text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  denotes the monodromy representation associated to the abelian scheme  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ , which we are assuming to induce an irreducible action of  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  on the lattice of periods. In what follows we identify the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M_{\sigma}^{\text{rel}}$  with the corresponding  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of the lattice of periods.

**Proof of Corollary 1.3** Let us proceed by contradiction by assuming that  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  is a submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  of rank  $g'$  with  $g' < 2g$ . By the non-triviality of  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  proved in [Theorem 1.2](#) we have  $g' > 0$ . In other words, this means that for every  $h \in H := \ker \rho$  the logarithm  $\log_\sigma$  is transformed by  $h$  as

$$\log_\sigma \xrightarrow{h} \log_\sigma + u_1^h \mu_1 + \cdots + u_{g'}^h \mu_{g'},$$

where  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{g'}$  are fixed non-zero periods (depending on  $\sigma$ ). Recall that, for  $k \in \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$  the logarithm  $\log_\sigma$  will be sent by  $k$  to a new determination of the form

$$\log_\sigma + v_1 \omega_1 + \cdots + v_{2g} \omega_{2g},$$

where  $v_1, \dots, v_{2g} \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{2g}$  denote period functions. Since we are assuming that the action of  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  is irreducible, there exists  $k \in \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$  such that  $\rho(k) \cdot M_\sigma^{\text{rel}} \not\subseteq M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$ , i.e. the action of  $\rho(k)$  does not preserve the relative monodromy group. Therefore, we can fix  $k \in \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$  and  $h \in H$  such that  $\rho(k^{-1}) \cdot (u_1^h \mu_1 + \cdots + u_{g'}^h \mu_{g'}) \notin M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$ ; define  $h' := k^{-1} h k$  and observe that  $h' \in H$  since  $H \trianglelefteq G$ . If we look at the monodromy action of  $h'$  on the abelian logarithm we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \log_\sigma &\xrightarrow{k} \log_\sigma + v_1 \omega_1 + \cdots + v_{2g} \omega_{2g} \xrightarrow{h} \log_\sigma + v_1 \omega_1 + \cdots + v_{2g} \omega_{2g} + u_1^h \mu_1 + \cdots + u_{g'}^h \mu_{g'} \\ &\xrightarrow{k^{-1}} \log_\sigma + \rho(k^{-1}) \cdot (u_1^h \mu_1 + \cdots + u_{g'}^h \mu_{g'}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $h' \in H$ , then we have  $\rho(k^{-1}) \cdot (u_1^h \mu_1 + \cdots + u_{g'}^h \mu_{g'}) \in M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$ , which contradicts the choice of  $k$  and  $h$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.11.** When  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  is simple and  $S$  is a curve, maximal variation in moduli is equivalent to not having fixed part. Hence our [Theorem 1.2](#) holds for all ramified sections with respect to  $p$  of an abelian scheme with no fixed part over a curve  $S$ .

An interesting case where our result holds, is given by the family of hyperelliptic curves described in [\[3, Section 7\]](#).

The hypothesis on the irreducibility of the action of  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  appearing in [Corollary 1.3](#) is satisfied when  $p(S)$  is not contained in any proper special subvariety of  $\mathbb{A}_g$ .

### 3.4 Relative monodromy of non-torsion sections

So far all the results are proved for ramified sections with respect to  $p$ , which are a subset of all non-torsion sections (see [Remark 3.10](#)). We can extend our main results to all non-torsion sections under the assumption that the modular map  $p$  is finite onto a subvariety  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{A}_g$ , where the unramified base changes of  $\mathcal{G}$  have finite Mordel-Weil group.

**Proposition 3.12.** *Let  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  be an abelian scheme without fixed part such that (up to a finite base change) the modular map  $p : S \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{A}_g$  is finite, where the unramified base changes of  $\mathcal{G}$  have finite Mordel-Weil group. If  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a non-torsion section, then  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  is non-trivial. If, in addition, the action of the monodromy group  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  is irreducible, then  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ .*

*Proof.* Since the unramified base changes of  $\mathcal{G}$  have finite Mordell-Weil group, each unramified section is torsion. The result immediately follows from [Theorem 1.2](#) and [Corollary 1.3](#).  $\square$

The condition about the Mordell-Weil group holds true when  $\mathcal{G}$  is a Kuga family (see [\[26, Main Theorem\]](#)). In particular,  $\mathcal{G}$  may be chosen to be a totally geodesic (i.e. weakly-special) subvariety of  $\mathbb{A}_g$ . Notice that, if we pick  $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{A}_g$  we obtain the complete version of [Conjecture 1.4](#): in fact we don't need to distinguish the cases when the image of the section  $\sigma$  is contained in proper group subschemes since having a generically finite map on a universal family prevents our abelian scheme from having any non-trivial proper subscheme; for the same reason, in this case the scheme automatically does not have fixed part.

### 3.5 The case of thin Monodromy of periods

In [\[2\]](#) André uses some Hodge-theoretical methods to address the problems presented in this paper. These are his hypotheses:

- (i)  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  has no fixed part, even after pull-back to a finite étale cover of  $S$ .

(ii) The image of the section is not contained in any proper group-subgroup.

(iii) The monodromy group  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  is not thin, i.e. it is of finite index in the integral points of its Zariski closure.

He shows that  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ . It would be interesting to compare his results with ours.

Regarding the hypothesis (iii), André observes that it is not always satisfied and in general we don't know how big is  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  in such case. To this regard, we provide below an explicit construction showing a case where  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  is of maximal rank even though  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  is thin.

**Remark 3.13.** Notice that a priori, our hypotheses in [Corollary 1.3](#) don't exclude the case when  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  is thin.

**Example 3.14.** Let us denote by  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow S$  the Legendre elliptic scheme, where  $S := \mathbb{P}_1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ . Consider the product

$$(\phi_1, \phi_2) : \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L} \rightarrow S \times S,$$

where we use the coordinates  $(x, y)$  in  $S \times S$ . We let  $X$  be the line in  $S^2$  defined by  $x + y = 2$ , so this line is isomorphic under the first projection to  $B := \mathbb{P}_1 - \{0, 1, 2, \infty\}$ . By projection from  $X$  to  $B$ , we may define a scheme  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B$  over  $B$  whose fibers are of the type  $\phi^{-1}(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}_\lambda \times \mathcal{L}_{2-\lambda}$ .

The abelian scheme  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B$  may be interpreted as the fiber product of two elliptic schemes  $\mathcal{E}_1 \times_B \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow B$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow B$  is the Legendre scheme associating  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda \rightarrow \lambda$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow B$  is the Legendre scheme associating  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda \rightarrow 2 - \lambda$ . Note that the two elliptic schemes are not isogenous, since they have a different set of bad reduction.

The fundamental group  $G$  of  $B(\mathbb{C})$  is free on three generators, and the monodromy representation on the periods of  $\phi$  gives a homomorphism  $\rho : G \rightarrow \Gamma_2 \times \Gamma_2$ , where  $\Gamma_2$  is the subgroup of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote the monodromy group of periods as  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A}) := \rho(G)$ . Since  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B$  is the product of two non-isogenous elliptic schemes, then the Zariski closure of  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  is the whole  $\text{SL}_2 \times \text{SL}_2$  [[9](#), Theorem 5.3.10].

Notice that  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  is generated by three elements, and the same holds for its projection on the abelianized group of  $\Gamma_2 \times \Gamma_2$ , which is  $\mathbb{Z}^4$ . In particular, the projection in the abelianized group must have infinite index. Therefore, the group  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  is not of finite index in the integral points of its Zariski-closure: in other words, the group  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  is thin.

The abelian scheme  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B$  has trivial Mordell-Weil rank. Let us consider the map  $\sigma : B \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  defined by the matrices

$$\sigma(\lambda) := \left( \left( 2, \sqrt{2(2-\lambda)} \right), \left( 3, \sqrt{6(1+\lambda)} \right) \right).$$

This map is a multisection of the abelian scheme  $\phi$ , but it becomes a section whether we consider the base change induced by the degree 4 field extension  $\mathbb{C}(B) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(B)(\psi, \mu)$  where  $\psi^2 + \mu^2 = 3$  and  $\mu^2 = 1 + \lambda$ . Denote by  $\phi' : \mathcal{A}' \rightarrow B'$  the base change we just described. The monodromy of periods  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A}')$  is a finite index subgroup of  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$ : in particular, it is Zariski dense in  $\text{SL}_2 \times \text{SL}_2$  and it has infinite index in  $\Gamma_2 \times \Gamma_2$ ; so  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A}')$  is still thin. The morphism  $\sigma$  is a section of  $\phi'$  whose image is not contained in any proper group subscheme, thus the relative monodromy group  $M_\sigma^{\text{rel}}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^4$  by [[33](#), Theorem 4.11].

## 4 Some applications

Now, we give some useful applications of our results.

### 4.1 Manin's kernel theorem

A first application of the non-triviality of relative monodromy is a strong version of Manin's kernel theorem under the hypotheses of our [Proposition 3.12](#). In the case of elliptic schemes the same result was obtained in [[10](#)]. We refer to the Betti coordinates defined in [Section 2.2](#) (see [Equation \(5\)](#)).

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  be an abelian scheme without fixed part such that (up to a finite base change) the modular map  $p : S \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{A}_g$  is finite, where the unramified base changes of  $\mathcal{G}$  have finite Mordel-Weil group. Let  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a section. If the Betti coordinates  $\beta_{\sigma,i}$  with  $i = 1, \dots, 2g$  are globally defined functions on  $S(\mathbb{C})$ , then  $\sigma$  is a torsion section. In that case, the Betti coordinates are rational constants.*

*Proof.* If the Betti coordinates are globally defined on  $S(\mathbb{C})$  then the relative monodromy group  $M_{\sigma}^{\text{rel}}$  is trivial. The claim then follows by the non-triviality part of [Proposition 3.12](#).  $\square$

## 4.2 Algebraic independence of periods and logarithms

Our theorem about the rank of the relative monodromy group [Corollary 1.3](#) gives a different proof of a result due to André [[1](#), Theorem 3] about the algebraic independence of logarithms under our hypothesis on the abelian scheme  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ . Let's briefly describe the setting.

Recall that the monodromy action of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)$  on periods and the logarithm of a section  $\sigma$  induces a representation  $\theta_{\sigma} : \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s) \rightarrow \text{SL}_{2g+1}(\mathbb{Z})$  described in [Equation \(13\)](#). Consider the projection  $\theta_{\sigma}(\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ , whose image is the monodromy group  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$ . By general theory, the Zariski closure  $\overline{\theta_{\sigma}(\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s))}$  in  $\text{SL}_{2g+1}$  is the differential Galois group of the Picard-Vessiot extension of  $\mathbb{C}(S)$  generated by the coordinates of  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{2g}, \log_{\sigma}$  and their directional derivatives along a tangent vector field  $\partial$  with respect to the Gauss-Manin connection. Clearly the homomorphism  $\theta_{\sigma}(\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s)) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  extends to an algebraic group homomorphism

$$\xi : \overline{\theta_{\sigma}(\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s))} \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2g}$$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$  be an abelian scheme without fixed part such that (up to a finite base change) the modular map  $p : S \rightarrow p(S) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_g$  is generically finite. If  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a ramified section with respect to  $p$  and the action of the monodromy group  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{A})$  is irreducible, then the kernel  $\ker \xi$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_a^{2g}$ . In particular, the coordinates of  $\log_{\sigma}$  have transcendence degree  $g$  over  $\mathbb{C}(S)(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{2g})$ , where  $\mathbb{C}(S)(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{2g})$  denotes the extension of  $\mathbb{C}(S)$  generated by the coordinates of periods.*

*Proof.* By [Corollary 1.3](#) the kernel of the morphism  $\xi|_{\theta_{\sigma}(\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), s))}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_a^{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ , which implies the first part of the statement. In particular, the transcendence degree of the extension generated by the coordinates of  $\log_{\sigma}$  and their directional derivatives over  $\mathbb{C}(S)(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{2g}, \partial\omega_1, \dots, \partial\omega_{2g})$  is  $2g$ , where we are fixing a tangent vector field  $\partial$ . The conclusion follows.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Y. André. Mumford-Tate groups of mixed Hodge structures and the theorem of the fixed part. *Compositio Mathematica*, 82(1):1–24, 1992.
- [2] Y. André. On relative integral monodromy of abelian logarithms and normal functions, 2024. Preprint.
- [3] Y. André, P. Corvaja, and U. Zannier. The Betti map associated to a section of an abelian scheme. *Invent. Math.*, 222(1):161–202, 2020. With an appendix by Z. Gao.
- [4] Y. André. Groupes de galois motiviques et périodes, 2016. [arXiv:1606.03714](#).
- [5] D. Bertrand. *Extensions de D-modules et groupes de Galois différentiels*, pages 125–141. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1990.
- [6] A. Borel. Density properties for certain subgroups of semi-simple groups without compact components. *Annals of Mathematics*, 72(1):179–188, 1960.
- [7] A. Borel and Harish-Chandra. Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups. *Annals of Mathematics*, 75(3):485–535, 1962.
- [8] P. Corvaja, D. Masser, and U. Zannier. Torsion hypersurfaces on abelian schemes and Betti coordinates. *Math. Ann.*, 371(3-4):1013–1045, 2018.

- [9] P. Corvaja and U. Zannier. *Poncelet games, Manin's kernel theorem, the Betti map and torsion in group schemes*. (Monograph in progress, draft sent privately).
- [10] P. Corvaja and U. Zannier. Unramified sections of the Legendre scheme and modular forms. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 166:Paper No. 104266, 26, 2021.
- [11] T. Crespo and Z. Hajto. *Algebraic Groups and Differential Galois Theory*. Graduate studies in mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2011.
- [12] J. F. Davis and P. Kirk. *Lecture notes in algebraic topology*, volume 35 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [13] V. Dimitrov, Z. Gao, and P. Habegger. Uniformity in Mordell-Lang for curves. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 194(1):237–298, 2021.
- [14] P. Dolce and F. Tropeano. Finite translation orbits on double families of abelian varieties, 2024. [arXiv:2401.07015](https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.07015).
- [15] F. Forstnerič. *Stein manifolds and holomorphic mappings*, volume 56 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*. Springer, second edition, 2017.
- [16] Z. Gao and P. Habegger. Heights in families of abelian varieties and the geometric bogomolov conjecture. *Annals of Mathematics*, 189(2):527–604, 2019.
- [17] Z. Gao and P. Habegger. Degeneracy loci in the universal family of abelian varieties, 2023. [arXiv:2303.04936](https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.04936).
- [18] Z. Gao and P. Habegger. The relative Manin-Mumford conjecture, 2023. [arXiv:2303.05045](https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.05045).
- [19] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson. *Stratified Morse theory*, volume 14 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [20] L. C. Grove. *Classical groups and geometric algebra*, volume 39 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [21] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*, volume 52 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, 1977.
- [22] J. Kollár. *Shafarevich maps and automorphic forms*. M. B. Porter Lectures. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995.
- [23] S. Lang and A. Néron. Rational points of abelian varieties over function fields. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 81(1):95–118, 1959.
- [24] J. M. Lee. *Introduction to Topological Manifolds*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 1 edition, May 2000.
- [25] Yu. I. Manin. Rational points on algebraic curves over function fields. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 53(2):447–448, 1989.
- [26] N. Mok and W.-K. To. Eigensections on kuga families of abelian varieties and finiteness of their mordell-weil groups. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 444:29–78, 1993.
- [27] M. V. Nori. A nonarithmetic monodromy group. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 302(2):71–72, 1986.
- [28] J. Pila and A. Wilkie. The rational points of a definable set. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 133, 06 2006.
- [29] J. Pila and U. Zannier. Rational points in periodic analytic sets and the Manin-Mumford conjecture. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.*, 19(2):149–162, 2008.
- [30] P. Sarnak. Notes on thin matrix groups. In *Thin groups and superstrong approximation*, volume 61 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 343–362. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [31] J.-P. Serre and J. Tate. Good reduction of abelian varieties. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 88:492–517, 1968.

- [32] F. Tropeano. Monodromy of elliptic logarithms: some topological methods and effective results, 2024. [arXiv:2402.07741](#).
- [33] F. Tropeano. Monodromy of double elliptic logarithms. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova (to appear)*, 2025.
- [34] J. Xie and X. Yuan. Partial heights, entire curves, and the geometric Bombieri-Lang conjecture, 2023. [arXiv:2305.14789](#).
- [35] X. Yuan and S.-W. Zhang. Adelic line bundles on quasi-projective varieties, 2023. [arXiv:2105.13587](#).
- [36] U. Zannier. *Some problems of unlikely intersections in arithmetic and geometry*, volume 181 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012. With appendixes by David Masser.

P. Dolce, INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL SCIENCES, WESTLAKE UNIVERSITY, CHINA  
*E-mail address:* `dolce@westlake.edu.cn`

F. Tropeano, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI ROMA TRE, ITALY  
*E-mail address:* `francesco.tropeano@uniroma3.it`